STATE OF MARYLAND

Single Audit
with
Independent Auditors' Report

Year Ended June 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	
Independent Auditors' Report on Financial Statements Audited in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Compliance and Internal Controls	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	52
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results	59 61
Section II — Financial Statement Findings Section III — Federal Assemblings and Operation of Costs	
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs Section IV Support Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	63 97

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamili Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of Maryland (the State), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the State's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements, schedules and supplementary information are the responsibility of the State's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We did not audit the financial statements of (1) certain Economic Development-Loan Programs; (2) Unemployment Insurance Program; (3) Maryland State Lottery Agency; (4) Maryland Transportation Authority; (5) Economic Development Insurance Programs; (6) certain foundations included in the higher education component unit; (7) Maryland Environmental Service; (8) Maryland Food Center Authority; (9) Maryland Technology Development Corporation; (10) Investment Trust Fund; and (11) Deferred Compensation Plan, which represent the percentages of the assets, net assets, and operating revenues or additions of the opinion listed below.

	Percer	tage of Opinion U	nit
	Total Assets	Total Net Assets	Total Operating Revenues
Business-Type Activities			
Economic Development - Loan Programs	47.39 %	36.51 %	7.66 %
Unemployment Insurance Program	9.94	19.65	13.29
Maryland State Lottery Agency	2.34	.54	56.84
Maryland Transportation Authority	37.55	37.01	12.42
Economic Development Insurance Programs	.99	1.82	.07
Total percentage of business-type activities	98.21	95.53	90.28
Component Units			
Major -			
Higher Education Foundations	15.61 %	17.88 %	3.79 %
Non-Major -			
Maryland Environmental Service	.95	.26	3,74
Maryland Food Center Authority	.29	.42	.12
Maryland Technology Development Corporation	.33	.09	0.88
Total percentage of component units	17.18 %	18.65	8.53
Fiduciary Funds			
Investment Trust Fund	4.75	5.42	72.87
Deferred Compensation Plan	5.30	6.06	4.71
Total percentage of fiduciary funds	10.05 %	11.48 %	77.58 %

Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the abovementioned funds and component units, is based on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the reports of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State, as of June 30, 2008, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 12, 2008, on our consideration of the State's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of Federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of Federal awards is prepared on the basis of accounting described in Note 2 to the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards and excludes the expenditures associated with the Federal financial assistance programs for the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration, an administration of the Maryland Department of Environment that had a separate OMB Circular A-133 audit. The information in the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards has been subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The management's discussion and analysis, required supplemental schedules of funding progress for the Pension and Retirement System and the Maryland Transit Administration Pension Plan, and the respective budgetary comparison for the budgetary general, special and Federal funds as listed in the table of contents are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the State's basic financial statements. The combining financial statements, schedules, introductory and statistical sections, as listed in the table of contents, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The combining financial statements and schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory and statistical sections of this report and the financial schedules required by law have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us or the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

abrams, Foster, Nole - Williams, P.A.
Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 12, 2008

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

We have audited the basic financial statements of the State of Maryland (State), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our report on the basic financial statements included disclosures regarding our references to the reports of other auditors. The financial statements of the Economic Development – Loan Programs (except for the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration), an administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment; the Maryland State Lottery Agency; the Maryland Transportation Authority; the Economic Development Insurance Programs; certain foundations included in the higher education component unit; the Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, the Maryland Local Government Investment Pool, the Maryland Transit Administration Pension Plan, and the Deferred Compensation Plan were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the State's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting, which we have reported to the management of the State of Maryland, University System of Maryland and Morgan State University in separate letters dated December 12, 2008, November 11, 2008 and December 8, 2008.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State management, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (cognizant agency), Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

abrama Joster, Nofe-Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 12, 2008

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133.



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the State of Maryland (State) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. The State's major Federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the State's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the State's compliance based on our audit.

The State's basic financial statements include the operations of the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration, an administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment, which received Federal awards that are not included in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of this administration because the State engaged other auditors to perform a separate audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the State's compliance

with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the State's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the State complied in all material respects with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs section of this report as 2008-1, 2008-3, 2008-4, 2008-5, and 2008-6.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the State is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. According, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2008-2, 2008-3, 2008-4, 2008-7, 2008-8, 2008-9, 2008-10, 2008-11, 2008-12 and 2008-13 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

The State's responses to the findings indentified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State management, others within the State, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (cognizant agency), federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

abrams, Foster, Nole-Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 12, 2008

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** AGENCY FOR INTERNATION DEVELOPMENT (AID) Pass-Through PA Consulting Group 02.RD 23.143 23,143 Total AID 23,143 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA) Agricultural Research: Basic and Applied Research 10.001 983,693 983,693 Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control and Animal Care 10.025 2,661,506 2,661,506 Wild Life Service 10.028 113,419 113,419 Commodity Loans and Loan Deficiency Payments 10.051 56.744 56,744 Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program 10.156 36,166 36,166 Market Protection and Promotion 10.163 160.201 160,201 Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants 10.200 232,724 232,724 Pass-Through University of Vermont 10.200 99,646 99,646 10.2005-1995-Pass-Through North Carolina State University 01 3.263 3,263 Payments to 1890 Land-Grant Colleges and Tuskegee University 10.205 1,417,769 1,417,769 Grants for Agricultural Research: Competitive Research 10.206 120,562 120,562 Food and Agricultural Sciences National Needs Graduate Fellowship Grants 10.210 46,807 46,807 Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education 10.215 535,693 535,693 1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants 10.216 1,422,132 1.422,132 Higher Education Multicultural Scholars Program 10.220 17,780 17,780 Agricultural and Rural Economic Research 10.250 51,935 51,935 Integrated Programs 10.303 598,590 598,590 Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 10.303 24,355 24,355 Homeland Security Agricultural 10.304 30,485 30.485 Rural Housing Preservation Grants 10.433 96,474 96.474 Crop Insurance 10.450 397.600 397,600

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA), cont.						
Egg Products Inspection	10.476	-	-	55,521	55,521	
Cooperative Extension Service	10.500	-	-	4,966,208	4,966,208	
Pass-Through University of Delaware	10.500	-	-	40,106	40,106	
Pass-Through University of Vermont	10.500	-		3,719	3,719	
Department Agriculture USDA	10.550	-	-	15,966,000	15,966,000	
Food Stamp Cluster:						
Food Stamps	10.551	-	-	408,445,870	408,445,870	
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program	10.561		-	43,183,919	43,183,919	
Total Food stamp Cluster		-	-			451,629,789
Child Nutrition Cluster:						
School Breakfast Program	10.553	-	-	28,746,209	28,746,209	*
National School Lunch Program	10.555	•	-	14,368,345	14,368,345	
Special Milk Program for Children	10.556	-		406,998	406,998	
Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	-	-	90,645,365	90,645,365	
Total Child Nutrition Cluster						134,166,917
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program - WIC	10.557	-	-	84,854,171	84,854,171	
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	-		35,396,253	35,396,253	
Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition	10.560		-	2,250,127	2,250,127	
Emergency Food Assistance Cluster:					•	
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative Costs)	10.568	-	-	798,988	798,988	
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities)	10.569	-	•	2,742,807	2,742,807	
Total Emergency Food Assistance Cluster						3,541,795
WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)	10.572	-	-	396,802	396,802	
Farmers Market Nutrition Program (SFMNP)	10.576	-	-	93,268	93,268	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER **TOTALS** TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA), cont. Food Stamp Program Outreach Grant 10.580 29,186 29,186 10.58-1225-7-Contract / Other 001 23,407 23,407 Market Access Program 10.601 533 533 Agricultural Mediation Program 10.645 17,908 17,908 Cooperative Forestry Assistance 10.664 2,010,610 2,010,610 Rural Development, Foresty and Communities 10.672 5,000 5.000 Forest Legacy Program 10.676 9.122 9,122 Forest Land Enhancement Program 10.677 1,053 1,053 Forest Stewardship Program 10.678 64,463 64,463 Advancing Wood 10.681 1,309 1,309 Rural Cooperative Development Grants 10.771 (10,306)(10,306)1890 Land Grant Institution Rural Entrepreneurial Outreach Program 10.856 136,882 136,882 Soil Survey 10.903 87,582 87,582 **Environmental Quality** 10.912 340,555 340,555 Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 10.912 17,808 17,808 Agricultural Land Preservation 10.913 290,500 290,500 Agricultural Statistics Reports 10.950 47,269 47.269 Technical Agricultural Assistance 10.960 1,636,520 1,636,520 Agricultural Research Service 10.RD 2,308,992 2,308,992 Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service 10.RD 30,443 30,443 Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) 10.RD 8,628,481 8,628,481 Pass-Through BouMatic 10.RD 25,107 25,107 Pass-Through Colorado State University 10.RD 8,140 8,140 Pass-Through Cornell University 10.RD 51,792 51,792

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA), cont.		·				
Pass-Through Kansas State University	10.RD	110,395	-	-	110,395	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	10.RD	2,187	-	•	2,187	
Pass-Through Roger Williams University	10.RD	5,999	-	• -	5,999	
Pass-Through University of Delaware	10.RD	25,784	-	-	25,784	
Pass-Through University of Maine	10.RD	5,144	-	-	5,144	
Pass-Through University of Vermont	10.RD	63,442	-	-	63,442	
Economic Research Service	10.RD	108,387	•	-	108,387	
Foreign Agricultural Service	10.RD	2,077,219	-	-	2,077,219	
Forest Service	10.RD	188,501	-	-	188,501	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	10.RD	233,813	-	-	233,813	
Other Department of Agriculture	10.RD	18,077	-	-	18,077	
Total DOA					761,069,524	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)						
Census Special Tabulations and Services	11.005	• •	-	83,699	83,699	
Economic Development: Technical Assistance	11.303	-	÷	459,825	459,825	
Public Works and Economic Development Cluster:		-	-			
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307	-	-	6,847,313	6,847,313	
Total Public Works and Economic Development Clus	ster					6,847,313
Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986	11.407	- -	-	28,223	28,223	
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	11.419		-	2,925,428	2,925,428	
Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research Reserves	11.420	-	-	465,755	465,755	
Financial Assistance for National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science	11.426	-	-	590,823	590,823	
Marine Mammal Data Program	11.439	-	•	43,307	43,307	
Environmental Sciences, Applications, Data and Education	11.440	•	-	28,233	28,233	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC), cont.						
Unaillied Management Program	11.454	-	-	11,371	11,371	
Chesapeake Bay Studies	11.457	-	-	334,729	334,729	
Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act	11.474	~	-	163,076	163,076	
Coastal Ocean Research Program	11.478	-	-	191,302	191,302	
Educational Partnership Program	11.481	-	-	2,657,066	2,657,066	
Public Safety Interop Comm Grant PGM - FY 2007	11.555	-	-	35,635	35,635	
Weights and Measures Service	11.606	-	-	22,551	22,551	
Measurement and Engineering Research and Standards	11.609	26,403	-	232,916	259,319	
Manufacturing Extension Partnership	11.611	-	-	322,194	322,194	
Basic Minority Business Development Centers	11.800	-	-	139,803	139,803	
Contract / Other	11.8405IPA01		•	(3,549)	(3,549)	
Contract / Other	11.EU133C06 SE4030	-	-	746	746	
Bureau of the Census	11.RD	(2,676)	-	~	(2,676)	
National Institute for Standards and Technology	11.RD	13,793,927	-	-	13,793,927	
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	11.RD	13,592,731	-	*	13,592,731	
Pass-Through Chesapeake Research Consortium	11.RD	446,239	-	-	446,239	
Pass-Through Clemson University	11.RD	43,563	-	-	43,563	
Pass-Through Columbia University	11.RD	3,312	-	-	3,312	
Pass-Through Fiorida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission	11.RD	45,983	-	-	45,983	
Pass-Through GreenEyes, LLC	11.RD	26,043	· <u>-</u>	-	26,043	
Pass-Through Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution	11.RD	3,070	-	•	3,070	
Pass-Through North Pacific Research Board	11.RD	54,457	-	-	54,457	
Pass-Through Oyster Recovery Partnership	11.RD	295,396	-	-	295,396	
Pass-Through Research Foundation of City University of New York	11.RD	119,096	-	-	119,096	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC), cont. Pass-Through Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 11.RD 113,688 113,688 Pass-Through Smithsonian Institute 11.RD 159 159 Pass-Through Texas A&M Research Foundation 11.RD 80,532 80,532 Pass-Through University of New Hampshire 11.RD 144,919 144,919 Pass-Through University of North Carolina 11.RD 11,859 11,859 Pass-Through Virginia Institute of Marine Science 11.RD 15,487 15,487 11.SB134106 Contract / Other W0979 6.046 6,046 11.SB134107 Contract/ Other SE1015 811 811 Contract / Other 11.Unknown 38,860 38,860 **Total DOC** 44,440,351 Department of Defense (DOD) Procurement Technical Assistance for Business Firms 12.002 381,643 381,643 Planning Assistance to States 12.110 180,848 180,848 State Memo of Agreement Prog for Reimb of Tech Service 12.113 648,701 648,701 12.1706HD-UNIV OF MD-Pass-Through Surface Optics Corporation 05152006 14,438 14,438 Basic and Applied Scientific Research 12,300 449,694 960.425 1,410,119 Research on Chemical & Biological Defense 12.360 43,812 43,812 Military Construction, National Guard 12.400 147,728 147,728 National Guard Military Operations & Maint 12.401 12,249,074 12,249,074 National Guard Civilian Youth Opportunities 12.404 1,485,405 1,485,405 Military Medical Research and Development 12.420 (1,431)(1,431)Basic Scientific Research 12.431 1,801,190 275,235 2,076,425 Pass-Through University of Illinois, Urbana/Champaign 12.431 173,577 173,577 National Flagship Language Prog. Grant to US Institution of Higher Education 12.550

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND **FINANCIAL CLUSTER** FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE TOTALS** TOTAL OTHER Department of Defense (DOD), cont. Pass-Through Institute of International Education 12.550 1,315,682 1,315,682 Community Econ. Adjustment Planning Asst. 12.607 602,743 602,743 Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering 12.630 25,656 25,656 Basic, Applied, Advanced Research in Science & Engineering 12.630 99,959 99,959 Motor Week Energy 12.678 190,000 190,000 Air Force Defense Research Sciences 12.800 258,165 258,165 Language Grant Program 12.900 538,104 538,104 Pass-Through Bryn Mawr College 12.900 25.774 25,774 Mathematical Sciences Grants Program 12.901 148,986 148,986 Information Security Grant Program 12,902 440,795 440,795 12.HC1047-05-Contract/Other - Defense Information Systems Agency D-4015 1,367,902 1,367,902 Contract/Other - IPA Contract 12.051 88,471 88,471 Contract/Other - IPA Contract 12.070 177,119 177,119 12.IPA-Contract / Other Agreement 55,625 55,625 Pass-Through University of California 12.RD 102,273 102,273 Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers 12.RD 483,546 483,546 Pass-Through Battelle Memorial Institute 12.RD 16,482 16,482 Pass-Through Oyster Recovery Partnership 12.RD 4,095 4,095 U.S. Army, Material Command 12.RD 9,763,934 9,763,934 Pass-Through Bellcore Company 12.RD 1,289,441 1,289,441 Pass-Through California Institute of Technology 12.RD 103,013 103,013 Pass-Through Georgetown University 12.RD 38,113 38,113 12.RD Pass-Through Temple University 205,066 205.066 Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles 12.RD 53.391 53,391

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER **ASSISTANCE** DEVELOPMENT **TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL Department of Defense (DOD), cont. Pass-Through University of Rochester Institute of Optics 12.RD 270,836 270,836 U.S. Army, Medical Command 12.RD 4,458,350 4,458,350 Department of the Army, National Guard Bureau 12.RD 66,112 66,112 Department of the Navy, Office of Chief of Naval Research 12.RD 13,265,847 13.265.847 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Lab 12.RD 52,734 52.734 Pass-Through University of Texas 12.RD 123,874 123,874 Pass-Through Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute 12.RD 50.751 50,751 Department of the Air Force, Material Command 12.RD 7,173,601 7,173,601 Pass-Through University of Michigan 12.RD 79,220 79,220 Pass-Through University of Utah 12.RD 55,726 55,726 National Security Agency 12.RD 31,958,663 31,958,663 Pass-Through BAE Systems of North America 12.RD 146,824 146,824 Pass-Through Columbia University 12.RD 10,599 10,599 Pass-Through IBM Corporation 12.RD 199,086 199,086 Pass-Through New Mexico State University 12.RD 65,373 65.373 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency: 12.RD 1,222,309 1,222,309 Pass-Through Princeton University 12.RD 293,658 293,658 Pass-Through SRI International 12.RD 113,543 113,543 Pass-Through Stanford University 12.RD 66.078 66,078 Pass-Through University of Southern California 12.RD 16,299 16,299 Office of the Secretary of Defense 12.RD 1,141,496 1,141,496 Pass-Through University of Illinois 12.RD (29,995)(29,995)Other Department of Defense 12.RD 411.517 411,517 Pass-Through Battelle 12.RD 9,229 9,229

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
Department of Defense (DOD), cont.						
Pass-Through Henry M. Jackson Foundation	12.RD	(6,357)		-	(6,357)	
Pass-Through US Civilian Research & Development Foundation	12.RD	22,038	-	-	22,038	
Contract other	12.Unknown	-	-	141,312	141,312	
Pass-Through Micro Analysis & Design, Inc	12.Unknown	8,185	-	-	8,185	
Contract other Air Force						
Pass-Through IRFlex	12.Unknown	23,633	-	-	23,633	
Contract Other Army						
Pass-Through Agnik, LLC	12.W15P7T- 07-C-P1447	15,342	_	_	15,342	
	12.W74RDV-					
Contract / Other	5363-4308	35,101	-	-	35,101	
Contract / Other - US Army Medical Research & Material Command	12.W81XWH- 07-1-0565	77,118	•	-	77,118	
Contract / Other	12.W912HQ- 06-C-0022	180,912	-	-	180,912	
Pass-Through The Retec Group, Inc.	12.W912HQ- 06-C-0025	27,909	•	-	27,909	
Contract Other - Navy				-	•	
Pass-Through Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey	12.W912HQ- 06-C0031	56,813	-	-	56,813	
Total DOD					98,008,410	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)						
Contract / Other	13.2005*H370 900*000	168,987	· •		168,987	
Central Intelligence Agency	13.RD	(8)	-	-	(8)	
Total CIA					168,979	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMEN	NT (HUD)				·	
Section 8 Project -Based Cluster:		,				
Department of Housing and Urban Development	14.000	-	-	380,090	380,090	
Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program	14.195	-	-	151,977,716	151,977,716	
Moderate Rehab	14.856	-	-	445,014	445,014	
Total Section 8 Project - Based Cluster		-				152,422,730

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** TOTALS OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD), cont. CDBG Entitlement and (HUD-Administered) Small Cities Cluster: Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants 14.218 88,124 88,124 Community Development Block Grant/Small Cities Program 14.219 4,515,355 4,515,355 **Total CDBG Cluster** 4,603,479 Community Development Block Grant/State's Program 14.228 5,815,877 5,815,877 Supportive Housing Program 14.235 733,501 733,501 Shelter Plus Care 14.238 3,002,986 3,002,986 HOME Investment Partnership Program 14.239 7,093,693 7,093,693 Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS 14.241 898,851 898,851 Community Development Block Grants/Brownfields Economic Development Initiative 14.246 21,431 21,431 Community Dev. Block Grants/Brownfields Economic Dev. Initiative 14.246 8.797 8.797 Economic Development Initiative- Special Projects, Neighborhood Initiatives, and Miscellaneous Grants 14.251 222,750 222,750 Fair Housing Assistance Program: State and Local 14,401 307,396 307,396 Historically Black Colleges and Universities Programs 14.520 244,767 244,767 **HBCU** Program 14.52 116,753 116,753 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers 14.871 15,620,701 15.620.701 Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately-Owned Housing 14.900 373,974 373,974 Total HUD 191,867,776 **DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR (DOI)** 15.06CRCM0 Contract / Other 022 134,405 134,405 15.1443CA30 Contract / Other 9701200 13,519 13,519 Wildland Urban Interface Community Fire Assistance 15.228 5,875 5,875 Regulation of Surface Coal Mining and Surface Effects of Underground Coal Mining 15.250 709,610 709,610 Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) Program 15.252 1,230,305 1,230,305

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR (DOI), cont. Fish and Wildlife Cluster: Sport Fish Restoration 15.605 2,463,027 2,463,027 Wildlife Restoration 15.611 2,049,524 2,049,524 Total Fish and Wild life Cluster 4,512,551 Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund 15.615 48,300 48.300 Clean Vessel Act 15.616 465,823 465.823 Wildlife Conservation Appreciation 15.617 928 928 Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act 15.622 765,646 765,646 Landowner Incentive 15.633 431,638 431,638 State Wildlife Grants 15.634 706,468 706,468 Pass-Through North Dakota Game and Fish Department 15.634 74,292 74,292 US Geological Survey: Research and Data Acquisition 15.808 103,192 103,192 National Spatial Data Infrastructure Cooperative Agreements Program 15.809 1,308 1,308 Pass-Through American View, Inc. 15.809 3,252 3,252 Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid 15.904 627,667 627,667 National Register of Historic Places 15.914 3,331 3,331 **Technical Preservation Services** 15.915 122 122 Outdoor Recreation - Acquisition, Development and Planning 15.916 1,065,000 1.065.000 Urban Park & Recreation Recovery Program 15.919 (1,370)(1,370)National Center for Preservation Technology & Training 15.923 46,555 46,555 Historically Black Colleges and Universities Preservation Iniative 15.924 20,679 20,679 Chesapeake Bay Gate Grants 15.930 9,942 9,942 15.M07PX132 Contract / Other 49 4.992 4,992 National Park Service 15.RD 463,882 463,882

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT CFDA RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL. CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR (DOI), cont. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 15.RD 54,501 54,501 Geological Survey 15.RD 550.623 550,623 Other Department of Interior - Research and Development 15.RD 327,333 327,333 Total DOI 12,380,369 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) Contract / Other 16.000 1,778,118 1,778,118 Marijuana Eradication 16.004 115,963 115,963 16.2006-DD-Contract / Other BX-0324 54,750 54,750 16.2007-DD-Contract / Other BX-0713 158,951 158.951 16.2007-DG-R-Contract / Other 034 6,038 6.038 Offender Reentry Program 16.202 50,609 50,609 Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants 16.523 727,178 727,178 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention: Allocation to States 16.540 936,995 936.995 Missing Children's Assistance 16.543 121,135 121,135 Title V: Delinquency Prevention Program 16.548 12,020 12.020 Maryland Justice Statistics 16.550 41,560 41,560 National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) 16.554 135,628 135,628 National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants 16.560 1,025,405 1,025,405 Forensic DNA Lab Improvement 16.564 800,863 800,863 Crime Victim Assistance 16.575 8,474,822 8,474,822 Crime Victim Compensation 16.576 1,600,000 1,600,000 Byrne Formula Grant Program 16.579 1,381,269 1,381,269 Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Discretionary Grant Program 16.580 727,518 727,518 Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program 16.585 6,230 6,230

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), cont.						
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	-	.	2,327,737	2,327,737	
Grant to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement Of Protection Orders	16.590	•	· -	1,029,258	1,029,258	
Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program	16.592	-	•	87,646	87,646	
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	16.593	-	-	985,985	985,985	
Corrections Training and Staff Development	16.601	-	-	15,000	15,000	
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606	· -	-	1,970,782	1,970,782	
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	-	-	77,064	77,064	
Gun Violence Prosecution Program	16.609	-	-	116,914	116,914	
Regional Information Sharing Systems	16.610	(330,286)	-	-	(330,286)	
Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	16.727	-		227,828	227,828	
Drug Prevention Program	16.728	-	· •	28,215	28,215	
Protecting Inmates and Safeguarding Communities Discretionary Grant Program	16.735	~	-	148,941	148,941	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	-	-	3,337,848	3,337,848	
DNA Capacity Enhancement FY 06(46195)	16.741	-	-	25,000	25,000	
Anti-Gang Initiative Program	16.744	-	•	257,300	257,300	
Federal Bureau of Investigation	16.RD	38,179	-	~	38,179	
Office of Justice Programs	16.RD	879,481	۳.	-	879,481	
Office of National Drug Control Policy Total DOJ	16.RD	8,716,767	-		8,716,767	
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)				, -	38,094,711	
Labor Force Statistics	17.000			4 400 505		
Labor Force Statistics	17.002		-	1,160,585	1,160,585	
Compensation and Working Conditions	17.005	-	-	149,613	149,613	
Unemployment Insurance (Note 2)	17.225	-	-	611,850,991	611,850,991	
Comprehensive Manpower Programs	17.227	•	-	86,041	86,041	
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	-	-	1,170,420	1,170,420	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL), cont.						
Trade Adjustment Assistance : Workers	17.245	-	-	4,044,149	4,044,149	
GWIB - Health Worker Initiative	17.257	-	-	22,462	22,462	
WIA Cluster:						
Workforce Investment Act: Adult Program	17.258		-	11,194,153	11,194,153	
Workforce investment Act: Youth Activities	17.259	-	-	8,762,991	8,762,991	
Workforce Investment Act: Dislocated Workers	17.260	-	-	10,325,775	10,325,775	•
Pass-Through Chespeake College	17.260	-	-	4,803	4,803	
Total WIA Cluster						30,287,722
Employment and Training Administration Pilots, Demonstrations	17.261	-	-	77,341	77,341	
Work Incentives Grant	17.266	-		363,865	363,865	
WIA Incentive Grants Section 503 Grants to States	17.267	-	1	716,227	716,227	
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)						
Work Opportunity Tax Credit Program	17.271	-	-	410,630	410,630	
Labor Certification for Alien Workers	17.273	-	-	337,097	337,097	
Occupational Safety and Health-Susan Harwood Training Grants	17,502	-	-	62,147	62,147	
Occupational Safety & Health	17.503	-		3,527,943	3,527,943	
Consultation Agreements	17.504	-	. .	966,783	966,783	
Occupational Illness & Injury Prevention	17.600	-	-	73,847	73,847	
Employment Programs for People with Disabilities	17.720	٠.	-	44,578	44,578	
Employment Service Cluster:						
Employment Service	17.207	-	-	12,200,471	12,200,471	
Disabled Veterans' Outreach Program (DVOP)	17.801	-	~ .	1,403,004	1,403,004	
Local Veterans' Employment Representative Program	17.804	*	-	1,143,215	1,143,215	

"See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

14,746,690

Total Employment Service Cluster

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE **TOTALS** OTHER **TOTAL** DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL), cont. Bureau of Labor Statistics 17.RD 6,152 6,152 Total DOL 670,105,283 **DEPARTMENT OF STATE (DOS)** International Education Training and Research 19.430 633,269 633,269 Pass-Through U.S. Civilian Research Foundation 19.RD 4,770 4,770 **Total DOS** 638,039 **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)** Contract/Other 20.Unknown 1,981,538 1,981,538 Airport Improvement Program 20.106 8,620,190 8,620,190 Aviation Research Grants 20.108 4,242 4,242 Highway Planning and Construction Cluster: Highway Planning and Construction 20.205 537,603,052 537,603,052 Appalachian Development Highway System 23.003 200,178 200,178 Total Highway Planning Cluster 537.803.230 Highway Training and Education 20.215 199,727 199,727 National Motor Carrier Safety 20.218 1,098,599 1,098,599 Commercial Driver Lic Grant Agreement 20.232 190.187 190,187 Fra - Maglev 20.312 86,858 86,858 Federal Transit Cluster: Federal Transit: Capital Investment Grants 20.500 74,965,617 74,965,617 Federal; Transit: Formula Grants 20.507 96,408,890 96,408,890 Total Federal Transit Cluster 171,374,507 Federal Transit: Metropolitan Planning Grants 20.505 8,200,604 8,200,604 Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas 20.509 3,866,755 3,866,755

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE OTHER** TOTAL **TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)** Transit Services Program Cluster: Capital Assistance Program for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities 20.513 122,655 122,655 Job Access: Reverse Commute 20.516 1,355,312 1,355,312 New Freedom Initiative 20.521 6,580 6,580 **Total Transit Services Programs Cluster** 1,484,547 Alternative Analysis 20.522 301,310 301,310 20.57-Pass-Through South Carolina State University 6000950 18,316 18,316 Highway Safety Cluster: State and Community Highway Safety 20.600 7,309,499 7,309,499 Pass-Through American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators 20.600 7,920 7,920 **Total Highway Safety Cluster** 7,317,419 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 20.614 133,850 133,850 Pipeline Safety 20.700 267,173 267,173 University Transportation Centers Program 20.701 507,781 507,781 Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and 20.703 173,431 173,431 Development and Promotion of Ports and Intermodal Transportation 20.801 2,393,755 2,393,755 Federal Aviation Administration 20.RD 334,092 334,092 Federal Highway Administration 20.RD 28,262 28,262 Pass-Through National Cooperative Highway Research Program 20.RD 802 802 Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration 20.RD 179,411 179,411 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 20.RD 693,624 693,624 Pass-Through American Occupational Therapy 20.RD 3,766 3,766 Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 20.RD 1,455 1,455 Maritime Administration 20.RD 3,121 3,121 Contract / Other 20.Unknown 2.383 2,383 **Total DOT** 747,270,936

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COUNCIL (ARC)						
Appalachian Regional Development	23.001	-	-	20,990	20,990	
Appalachian Local Access Roads	23.008	•	•	545,547	545,547	
Appalachían State Research, Technical Assistance	23.011	-	-	138,302	138,302	
Pass-Through East Tennessee State University	23.011	-	-	1,811	1,811	
Total ARC					706,650	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMITTEE (EEOC	Ł					
Employment Discrimination: State and Local Fair Employment Practices Agency Contracts	30.002	-	-	380,668	380,668	
Total EEOC					380,668	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (GSA) - NON-CASH	I EXPENDIT	URES				
Business Services	39.001	-	-	391,617	391,617	
Disposal of Federal Surplus Real Property	39.002	-	-	623	623	,
Donation of Federal Surplus Property Program (Note 3)	39.003	μ.	-	344,269	344,269	
Help America Vote Act	39.011	-		510,216	510,216	
Total GSA				-	1,246,725	
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS						
Library of Congress	42.RD	82,836	-	*	82,836	
Total Library of Congress				-	82,836	
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NA	<u>SA)</u>					
Aerospace Education Services Program	43.001	2,924,956	-	743,979	3,668,935	
Pass-Through Diversified Career and Educational Services Program	43.001	-	-	863	863	
Pass-Through Jet Propulsion Lab	43.001	-	_	75,893	75,893	
Pass-Through Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute	43.001	-	• •	75,192	75,192	
Technology Transfer	43.002	-	-	82,347	82,347	
Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University	43.002	-		5,858	5,858	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT RESEARCH AND **CFDA** FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE **TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA), cont. 43.NNS06AA6 Pass-Through Mississippi State University 5D 12.855 12,855 NASA 43.RD 59,549,579 59,549,579 Pass-Through AdTech Photonics, Inc. 43.RD 62,414 62,414 Pass-Through Apeak, Inc. 43.RD 16,789 16,789 Pass-Through Battelle Memorial Institute 43.RD 838 838 Pass-Through Boston University 43.RD 2,059 2,059 Pass-Through California Institute of Technology 43.RD (3) (3) Pass-Through Carnegie Institute of Washington 43.RD 47,222 47,222 Pass-Through Columbia University 43.RD 105,015 105,015 Pass-Through Florida Institute of Technology 43.RD 58,696 58,696 Pass-Through George Mason University 43.RD 53,734 53,734 Pass-Through Georgia Institute of Technology 43.RD 3.127 3,127 Pass-Through Institute for Global Environment and 43.RD 143,076 143,076 Pass-Through Jet Propulsion Lab 43.RD 550,843 550,843 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 43.RD 3.883 3,883 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University/Applied Physics Lab 43.RD 189,235 189,235 Pass-Through Lowell Observatory 43.RD 8,552 8,552 Pass-Through Lunar and Planetary Institute 43.RD 13,050 13,050 Pass-Through Massachutes Intitute of Technology 43.RD 127,218 127,218 Pass-Through Michigan State University 43.RD 124,429 124,429 Pass-Through National Institute of Aerospace 43.RD 580,036 580,036 Pass-Through Oregon State University 43.RD 161,933 161,933 Pass-Through Science and Engineering Sevice Inc 43.RD 67,268 67,268 Pass-Through Science and Engineering Sevice Inc 43.RD 14,279 14,279

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL. CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA), cont. Pass-Through Sigma Space Corporation 43.RD 37,087 37.087 Pass-Through Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory 43.RD 16,350 16,350 Pass-Through South Dakota State University 43.RD 1,842 1,842 Pass-Through Southwest Research Institute 43.RD 13,215 13,215 Pass-Through Space Telescope Science Institute 43.RD 424,618 424,618 Pass-Through SP Systems, Inc. 43.RD 54,166 54,166 Pass-Through Stinger Ghafferian Technologies, Inc. 43.RD 39,331 39,331 Pass-Through University of Arizona at Tucson 43.RD 10,565 10,565 Pass-Through University of California 43.RD (4)(4) Pass-Through University of California, Irvine 43.RD 19,562 19,562 Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles 43.RD 38,291 38,291 Pass-Through University of California at Berkley - Space Science Lab 43.RD 99,408 99,408 Pass-Through University of California, Santa Barbara 43.RD 40,077 40,077 Pass-Through University of Delaware 43.RD 61,946 61,946 Pass-Through Unversity of Georgia 43.RD 38.601 38.601 Pass-Through University of Oregon 43.RD 64.132 64,132 Pass-Through University of Utah 43.RD 18,787 18,787 Pass-Through Yale University 43.RD 1,667 1,667 Contract / Other 43.Unknown 658,341 658,341 Pass-Through New Mexico State University 43.Unknown 6,000 6,000 Contract / Other 43.W30977 129,277 129,277 Total NASA 67,578,474

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES (NFAH) Promotion of the Arts: Grants to Organizations and Individuals 45.024 9.030 9.030 Pass-Through New England Foundation for the Arts 45.024 5,000 5.000 Promotion of the Arts: Partnership Agreements 45.025 628,111 628,111 Pass-Through Mid-Atlantic Arts Foundation 45.025 28,680 28.680 Promotion of the Humanities: Division of Preservation and 51,870 45.149 51,870 Promotion for the Humanitities - Research 45.161 80,539 80,539 Promotion of the Humanities-Digital Humanities Initiative 45.169 1,972 1,972 Conservation Project Support 45.303 83,095 83,095 Museum Grant for African American History and Culture 45.309 8,505 8,505 State Library Program 45,310 4,170,123 4,170,123 Laura Bush 21 Century Librarian Program 45.313 78,519 78,519 Pass-Through Rice University 45.RD 73,215 73,215 National Endowment for the Arts 45.RD 45,000 45,000 National Endowment for the Humanities 45.RD 50,715 50,715 Total NFAH 5,501,516 **NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF) Engineering Grants** 47.041 260,659 323,085 583,744 Pass-Through Alfred P. Sloan Foundation 47.041 2,504 2,504 Mathematical and Physical Sciences 47.049 16,231 209,399 225,630 Geosciences 47.050 49,915 49,915 Pass-Through Consotrium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science 47.050 (4,782)(4,782)Hyperspec Remote Sensing 47.05 37,400 37,400 Computer and Information Science and Engineering 47.070 833 48,308 49,141 **Biological Sciences** 47.074 3,747 321,065 324,812

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), cont. Pass-Through Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies 47.074 140,818 140,818 Pass-Through Ecological Society of America 47.074 14,417 14,417 Pass-Through George Washington University 47.074 13,252 13,252 Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences 47.075 1,009,515 1,009,515 Pass-Through Florida Education Fund 47.075 3,750 3,750 Pass-Through Gilder Lehrman Institute 47.075 (218)(218)Education and Human Resources 47.076 1,022,656 9,104,884 10,127,540 Pass-Through Baltimore County Public Schools 47.076 545 545 Pass-Through Prince George's Community College 47.076 163 163 47.079 International Science & Engineering (OISE) 10,568 10,568 47.DMS05524 Contract / Other 154,481 154,481 Contract / Other 47.IIS0540645 141,178 141,178 National Science Foundation (NSF) 47.RD 50,078,738 50,078,738 Pass-Through Auburn University 47.RD (4.386)(4,386)47.RD Pass-Through Boston University 137,912 137,912 Pass-Through Carnegie Institution of Washington 47.RD 115,188 115.188 Pass-Through Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies 47.RD 1,826 1,826 Pass-Through Chesapeake Research Consortium 47.RD 103,359 103,359 Pass-Through Clark University 47.RD 26,233 26,233 Pass-Through Colorado School of Mines 47.RD (79)(79)Pass-Through Duke University 47.RD (1.265)(1,265)Pass-Through Florida State University 47.RD 37,123 37,123 Pass-Through George Mason University 47.RD (76)(76)Pass-Through Iowa State University 47.RD 164,041 164,041

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), cont.						
Pass-Through National Academy of Engineering	47.RD	2,032		-	2,032	
Pass-Through National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.	47.RD	12,487	-	-	12,487	
Pass-Through National Radio Astronomy Observatory	47.RD	11,432	-	-	11,432	
Pass-Through New Jersey Institute of Technology	47.RD	60,906	•	-	60,906	
Pass-Through New Mexico State University	47.RD	12,179	-	-	12,179	
Pass-Through Oregon Health and Science University	47.RD	65,552	-	-	65,552	
Pass-Through Purdue University	47.RD	89,556	•	-	89,556	
Pass-Through Siena College	47.RD	19,832	-	-	19,832	
Pass-Through Smithsonian Institute	47.RD	28,810	-	-	28,810	
Pass-Through SPIE	47.RD	17,019	-	•	17,019	
Pass-Through SRI International	47.RD	28,717	-	-	28,717	
Pass-Through St. Joseph's College of Maine	47.RD	33,934	-	-	33,934	
Pass-Through University of California, Irvine	47.RD	25,967	-	-	25,967	
Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles	47.RD	164,808		-	164,808	
Pass-Through University of Colorado	47.RD	67,595	-		67,595	
Pass-Through University of Connecticut	47.RD	65,849	-	-	65,849	
Pass-Through University of Delaware	47.RD	(82)	-	-	(82)	
Pass-Through University of Indiana	47.RD	54,064	-	-	54,064	
Pass-Through University of Miami	47.RD	20,396	-	1 00	20,396	
Pass-Through University of Michigan	47.RD	269,329	-	-	269,329	
Pass-Through Univesity of New Hampshire	47.RD	8,286	-	•	8,286	
Pass-Through University of Southern California	47.RD	11,707	-	-	11,707	
Pass-Through University of Virginia	47.RD	(9,731)	-		(9,731)	
Pass-Through University of Washington	47.RD	78,705	-	* .	78,705	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), cont.						
Pass-Through University of Western Michigan	47.RD	98,557	-	-	98,557	
Pass-Through University of Wisconsin	47.RD	880,234	-	-	880,234	
Pass-Through US Civilian Research and Development Foundation	47.RD	5,656	~	-	5,656	•
Pass-Through Venture Group	47.RD	(92)	-	-	(92)	
Pass-Through Virginia Commonwealth University	47.RD	118,054	-	-	118,054	
Pass-Through Yale University	47.RD	22,641	-	-	22,641	
Contract / Other	47.Unknown		-	988,475	988,475	
Total NSF					66,795,861	
SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA)						
7(i) Technical Assistance	59.007	283,109	-	-	283,109	
Small Business Development Center	59.037			1,015,709	1,015,709	i
Contract/Other	59.Unknown	-	-	237,109	237,109	
Total SBA					1,535,927	
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION (VA)						
Veterans State Domiciliary Care	64.014	-	-	1,429,801	1,429,801	·
Veterans State Nursing Care	64.015	-		5,227,638	5,227,638	
Burial Expenses Allowance	64.101	-	-	722,107	722,107	
Vocational and Educational Counseling for Service Members and Veterans	64.125	-	~	198,889	198,889	/
State Cemetery Grant	64.203	-	-	6,912,000	6,912,000	
Contract/Other	64.IPA- AGREEMENT	140,335	-		140,335	
Veterans Benefits Administration – Research and Development	64.RD	504		-	504	
√eterans Health Administration – Research and Development	64.RD	7,017,074		•	7,017,074	
Pass-Through PARRA Consulting Group, Inc	64.RD	46,498			46,498	
Contract/Other	64.Unknown	12,423	-	68,833	81,256	
Total VA				~	21,776,102	

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)						
Surveys Studies, Investigations Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities Relating to the Clean Air Act	66.034		-	871,361	871,361	
Water Poliution Control: State and Interstate Program Support Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and	66.419	-		10,064	10,064	
Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(B)(3) of the Clean Water Act	66.436	-	•	144,869	144,869	
Construction Management Assistance	66.438	-	-	38,337	38,337	
Pass-Through National Fish & Wildlife Foundation	66.439	- -	-	85,278	85,278	
Water Quality Management Planning	66.454	•	-	319,705	319,705	
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	-	-	2,967,687	2,967,687	
Wetlands Protection: Development Grants	66.461	-	-	144,657	144,657	
Water Quality Cooperative Agreements	66.463	*	-	68,243	68,243	
Chesapeake Bay Program	66.466	-	-	4,613,903	4,613,903	
Operator Certification Expense Reimbursement	66.471	•	÷ -	32,697	32,697	
Beach Monitoring and Notification Program Implementation Grants	66.472	-	-	287,536	287,536	
Water Protection Grants to the States	66.474	-	-	135,941	135,941	
MD Regulatory Wetland Program Enhancement	66.479	-	-	149,309	149,309	
Assessment & Watershed Protection	66.480	-	-	65,205	65,205	
Environmental Protection-Consolidated Research	66.600	-	-	11,172	11,172	
Office of Research and Dev. Consolidated Research	66.511	-	-	43,489	43,489	
Greater Research Opportunities (GRO) Fellowships for Undergraduate/Graduate Environmental Study	66.513	-	-	2,425	2,425	
Science to Achieve Results Fellowship Program	66.514	-	-	5,841	5,841	
P3 Award: National Student Design Competition for Sustainability	66.516	-	1-	(29)	(29)	
Performance Partnership Grants (PPGs)	66.605	•	. -	10,341,792	10,341,792	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations and Special Purpose Grants	66.606	-		717,916	717,916	
Environmental Information Exchange Network Grant Program	66.608	-	-	431,172	431,172	
Consolidated Pesticide Enforcement Cooperative Agreements	66.700	.	-	294,909	294,909	

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA), cont.						
Pollution Prevention Grants Program	66.708		-	82,992	82,992	
Superfund State Site: Specific Cooperative Agreements	66.802	-	-	652,346	652,346	
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	66.805	· <u>-</u>	-	864,422	864,422	
Superfund State and Indian Tribe Core Program: Cooperative Agreements	66.809	-	-	512,802	512,802	
State and Tribal Response Program Grants	66.817	-	_	434,422	434,422	
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements	66.818	-	-	41,848	41,848	
Environmental Protection Agency	66.RD	(80,653)	-	_	(80,653)	
Office of Air and Radiation	66.RD	27,980	-	-	27,980	
Office of Administration	66.RD	210,212	-	be-	210,212	
Office of Research and Development	66.RD	1,233,810			1,233,810	
Pass-Through Center for Watershed Protection	66.RD	38,050	-	-	38,050	
Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University	66.RD	25,660	·	-	25,660	
Pass-Through Institute of Ecosytem Studies	66.RD	24,773	-	**	24,773	
Pass-Through Menzie Cura and Associates	66.RD	(4,199)	-	-	(4,199)	
Pass-Through Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments	66.RD	22,464	-	-	22,464	
Pass-Through University of Michigan	66.RD	26,083	-	-	26,083	
Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances	66.RD	28,000	-	•	28,000	
Office of Water	66.RD	55,456	· -		55,456	
Total EPA		•	•	·-	25,979,947	
NATIONAL REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC)						
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Education Grant Program	77.006	161,534	-	-	161,534	
National Regulatory Commission (NRC)	77.999	**	-	79,906	79,906	
Total NRC				_	241,440	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)		-				
State Energy Program	81.041	•	~ -	648,822	648,822	
Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons	81.042	-		2,634,305	2,634,305	
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	81.049	-	w	9,989	9,989	
Contract / Other	81.051	-	-	31,009	31,009	
Institutional Conversation Program	81.052	-	-	2,188	2,188	
University Coal Research	81.057	41,423	-	-	41,423	
Renewable Energy Research and Development	81.087	-	-	34,240	34,240	
State Heating Oil and Propane Programs	81.090	-	-	11,978	11,978	
University Nuclear Science and Reactor Support	81.114		-	23,718	23,718	
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	81.117	-	-	16,818	16,818	
State Energy Program Special Projects	81.119	-	:	188,650	188,650	
Pass-Through Battelle Corporation	81.DE-AC05- 76RL01830	(16,940)	-	~	(16,940)	
Contract / Other	81.DE-FG02- 05ER64082	216,747	-		216,747	
Contract / Other	81.DE-FG02- 07ER64339	44,636	-	•	44,636	
Contract / Other	81.DE-FG02- 99ER62859	203	-	-	203	
Pass-Through Advanced Resources International, Inc	81.RD	(443)	-	-	(443)	
Office of Fossil Energy	81.RD	2,800	-	-	2,800	
Office of Nuclear Energy	81.RD	177,115	-	•	177,115	
Pass-Through North Carolina State University	81.RD	99,460	-		99,460	
Office of Science	81.RD	6,199,208	- ,	-	6,199,208	
Pass-Through Advanced Thermal and Environmental Concepts, inc.	81.RD	46,000	-	-	46,000	
Pass-Through HyperV Technologies, Inc.	81.RD	118,327	-		118,327	
Pass-Through Northern Arizona University	81.RD	2,786	-		2,786	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	81.RD	102,700	•	-	102,700	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE **TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE), cont. Pass-Through Tulane University 81.RD 72,744 72,744 Pass-Through University of Massachusetts 81.RD 51,246 51,246 Other Department of Energy - Research and Development 81.RD 2,904,404 2,904,404 Pass-Through Battelle Energy Alliance 81.RD 22,625 22,625 Pass-Through Sandia National Labs 81.RD 95,433 95,433 Pass-Through University of California 81.RD 45,203 45,203 Pass-Through University of Wisconsin-Madison 81.RD 21,514 21,514 **Total DOE** 13,848,908 FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) National Fire Academy Educational Program 83.010 6,596 6,596 Contract / Other 83.Unknown 62,249 62,249 Total FEMA 68,845 **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED)** Adult Education: State Grant Program 84.002 9,728,445 9,728,445 Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant 84.007 6,777,115 6,777,115 Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies 84.010 211,838,620 211,838,620 Migrant Education: State Grant Program 84.011 729,641 729,641 Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children 84.013 1.264.351 1,264,351 International Overseas Group Projects Abroad 84.021 63,411 63,411 Idea - Part E Innovation & Development 84.023 2,602,935 2,602,935 Special Education Cluster: Special Education: Grants to States 84.027 191,519,479 191,519,479 Pass-Through Government of the District of Columbia 84.027 149,100 149,100 Special Education: Preschool Grants 84.173 6,900,880 6,900,880 Total Special Education (IDEA) Cluster 198,569,459

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont.						
Grants for Parent Training	84.029	-	~	253,413	253,413	
Higher Education Institutional Aid	84.031		-	11,203,373	11,203,373	
Federal Family Educational Loan	84.032	-	315,708,340	-	315,708,340	
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		5,905,924	~	5,905,924	
Federal Perkins Loan Cancellations	84.037	-	18,489	678,814	697,303	
Federal Perkins Loan Program	84.038	-	74,192,371		74,192,371	
TRIO Cluster:						
TRIO - Student Support Services	84.042	-	-	1,995,388	1,995,388	
TRIO - Talent Search	84.044	-	-	910,178	910,178	
TRIO: Upward Bound	84.047	-	-	3,890,150	3,890,150	•
Pass-Through Meeting the Challenge, Inc.	84.047	-	-	237,257	237,257	
TRIO: McNair Post – Baccalaureate Achievement	84.217	-	-	952,211	952,211	
Total TRIO Cluster						7,985,184
Vocational, Education - Basic Grants to the State	84.048	-	-	16,808,229	16,808,229	
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063		80,725,696	-	80,725,696	
Leveraging educational assistance Partnership	84.069	-	-	1,271,546	1,271,546	
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	84.116	-	-	380,601	380,601	
Video Cases for Novice College Mathematics Instructors	84.116B	-	-	9,629	9,629	
Minority Science and Engineering Improvement	84.120	-	-	318,916	318,916	
Rehabilitation Services: Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126	-	-	36,021,958	36,021,958	
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	84.129	-	-	864,490	864,490	
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	84.133	•	-	314,848	314,848	
Business and International Education Projects	84.153	-	-	4,797	4,797	ı
Rehabilitation Services: Client Assistance Program	84.161	-	-	195,207	195,207	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont. Independent Living: State Grants 84.169 40.018 40.018 Rehabilitation Service: Independent Living Services for Older Blind Individuals 84.177 95,697 95,697 Special Education: Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities 84.181 8,530,074 8,530,074 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Programs 84.184 137.070 137.070 Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarships 84.185 671,250 671,250 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities: State Grants 84.186 5,831,497 5,831,497 Supported Employment Services for Individuals with Severe Handicaps 84,187 11,103 11,103 Bilingual Education: Professional Development 84.195 331,382 331,382 Education of Homeless Children & Youth 84.196 715,985 715,985 Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need 84.200 1,137,010 1,137,010 Javits G/T 84.206 225,284 225,284 Even Start: State Educational Agencies 84.213 1,540,278 1.540.278 Fund for the Improvement of Education 84.215 369.421 369,421 Pass-Through Baltimore County Public Schools 84.215 105,082 105.082 Pass-Through Howard Co Public Schools 84.215 95,371 95,371 Pass-Through Wicomico County Board of Education 84.215 33,231 33,231 State Improvement Program 84,218 (922)(922)Centers for International Business Education 84.220 364,996 364,996 Assistive Technology 84.224 440,086 440,086 Rehabilitation Services Demonstration and Training 84.235 632,878 632,878 Tech--Prep Education 84.243 1,819,836 1,819,836 Rehabilitation Training: State Vocational Rehabilitation Unit In-Service Training 84.265 148,101 148,101 Federal Direct Loan 84.268 272,151,979 272,151,979 Pass-Through United Negro College Fund 84.269 231,418 231,418

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont.						
General Supervision Enhancement Grant	84.373	•	-	390,694	390,694	
Middle School Training Program	84.281	-	-	16,278	16,278	
The Charter School Program	84.282	•	-	5,098,006	5,098,006	
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	84.287	-	-	12,680,640	12,680,640	
Pass-Through Somerset County Board of Education	84.287	-	-	37,501	37,501	
Bilingual Education Cluster:						
Bilingual Education: Program Development and Implementation Grant	84.288			3,068	3,068	
Total Bilingual Cluster						3,068
Innovative Education Program Strategies	84.298	-	-	2,122,935	2,122,935	
Technology Literacy Challenge Fund Grants	84.318	-	-	3,856,979	3,856,979	
Special Education: State Program Improvement Grants for Children with Disabilities	84.323	3 _	-	1,219,662	1,219,662	
Special Education: Personnel Preparation to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	84.325	*	÷.	2,756,607	2,756,607	
Special Education: Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	84.326	-	-	329,758	329,758	
Advanced Placement incentive Program	84,330	-	-	1,267,814	1,267,814	
Grants to States for Incarcerated Youth Offenders	84.331		•	375,750	375,750	•
Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration	84.332	-	-	485,191	485,191	
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs	84.334	· -	· ~	2,871,860	2,871,860	
Pass-Through Allegany County Board of Education	84.334	-	-	25,782	25,782	
Child Care Access Means Parents in School	84.335	-	•	15,517	15,517	
Teacher Quality Enhancement Grants	84.336	-	-	1,398,185	1,398,185	
Reading Excellence Program	84.338		-	(45,900)	(45,900)	
Class Size Reduction	84.340	-	-	(17,071)	(17,071)	
Preparing Tomorrow's Teachers to Use Technology	84.342	-	•	255,253	255,253	
Occupation and Employment Information State Grants	84.346	-	-	13,647	13,647	

STUDENT CFDA RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE **TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont.** Transition to Teaching 84.350 459,248 459,248 Reading First State Grants 84.357 12,783,628 12,783,628 English Language Acquistion Grants 84.365 7,080,458 7,080,458 Mathematics and Science Partnerships 84.366 1,820,939 1,820,939 Pass-Through State of Delaware 84.366 96,186 96,186 Improving Teacher Quality State Grants 84.367 38,039,262 38,039,262 Pass-Through State of Delaware 84.367 170,322 170,322 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities 84.369 9,045,298 9,045,298 Statewide Longitudinal Data System 84.372 2,232,844 2.232.844 Academic Competitiveness Grants 84.375 518,426 518,426 ACG Program 84.375 113,389 113,389 Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG) 84.375 17,309 17,309 Academic Competitiveness Grant 84.375 49,100 49,100 National SMART Program 84.376 376,234 376,234 National Writing Project 84.928 5,031 5,031 Pass-Through University of California 84.928 150,915 150,915 Temp Emergency Aid - Impact Aid Katrina 84.938 (774,823)(774.823)2004 Insolicited Grants 84.955 503,193 503,193 84.ED-04-C0-Contract/Other 0137 2,914 2,914 Pass-Through Anne Arundel County Public Schools 84.RD 119,008 119,008 Institute of Education Sciences 84.RD 1,286,123 1,286,123 Pass-Through George Mason University 84.RD 6,998 6,998 Postsecondary Education 84.RD 249,710 249,710 Special Education and Rehabilitative Services 84.RD 74,265 74,265 Total DED 1,389,678,061

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT CFDA RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION** Comprehensive Service - Independent Living 85.169 152,631 152,631 Rehab Act of 1973-ILS for OBI 85.177 449,549 449,549 Rehab Act of 1973-SUP for WEMPLOY 85.187 348.109 348,109 The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars 85.300 17,515 17,515 The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars 85.RD 72,438 72,438 Smithsonian Institution 85.RD 24,998 24,998 Total Smithsonian Institution 1,065,240 NATIONAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS ADMINISTRATION National Historical Publications & Records Grants 89.003 33,485 33,485 National Archives and Records Administration - Research and Development 89.RD 164,708 164,708 **Total National Archives & Records** Administration 198,193 **ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION** Help America Vote Act 90.401 1,649,088 1,649,088 Election Assistance Commission - Research & Development 90.RD 9,152 9,152 **Total Election Assistance Commission** 1,658,240 **U.S INSTITUTE OF PEACE** United States Institute of Peace - Research & Development 91.RD 35.436 35,436 Total U.S. Institute of Peace 35,436 **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)** Contract / Other 93.Unknown 4,889,949 4,889,949 Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund 93.003 419,286 419,286 Cooperative Agreements to Improve the Health Status of Minority Populations 93.004 157,994 157,994 Minority Health State Partnership 93.006 156,031 156,031 Medical Reserve Corp Small Grant Program 93.008 38,055 38,055

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont.					
Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse	93.041	-	-	359,803	359,803	
Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals	93.042		-	111,915	111,915	
Aging Cluster:						
Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part F: Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	93.043	-		358,997	358,997	
Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part B: Grants for Supportive Services and senior Centers	93.044	-	-	6,621,432	6,621,432	
Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part C: Nutrition Services	93.045	-	-	9,167,191	9,167,191	
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053	-		1,794,725	1,794,725	
Total Aging Cluster						17,583,348
Special Programs for the Aging: Title IV: Training, Research and Discretionary Projects & Programs	93.048	-	-	995,185	995,185	
Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration Grants to States	93.051		-	278,965	278,965	
Nation Family Caregiver Support Program	93.052	-	-	2,460,624	2,460,624	ŧ
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhood Grants	93.086	-	-	931,466	931,466	
Food and Drug Administration: Research	93.103	-	-	171,352	171,352	
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	93.110	-	-	150,516	150,516	
Maternal and Child Health Bureau	93.110W	5,992	-		5,992	•
Biological Response to Environmental Health Hazards	93.113	-	-	196,903	196,903	
Project Grants and Cooperative agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.116	-	-	1,182,381	1,182,381	
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Activity	93.118	-	-	204,103	204,103	
Emergency Medical Services for Children	93.127	-	-	100,000	100,000	
Primary Care Services: Resource Coordination and Development: Primary Care Offices	93.130	-,a	-	270,550	270,550	
Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs	93.136	•	-	1,389,389	1,389,389	
Community Program to Improve Minority Hith	93.137	-	-	84,279	84,279	
Project for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	93.150	-	-	1,005,199	1,005,199	
Coordinated HIV Services and Access to Research for Children, Youth, Women and Families	93.153		-	1,373,896	1,373,896	
· ·						

"See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)	, cont.					
Grants for State Loan Repayments	93.165	-	-	250,000	250,000	
Research related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	93.173	· •	-	287,909	287,909	
Nursing Workforce Diversity	93.178	•	-	356,231	356,231	
National Research Services Awards	93.186	-	-	92,884	92,884	
Allied Health Special Projects	93.191	-		14	14	
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention and Surveillance of Blood Lead Levels in Children	93.197	-	-	1,010,364	1,010,364	
Family Planning Services	93.217	-		4,373,817	4,373,817	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	93.226	705,337	. .	-	705,337	
Traumatic Brain Injury - State Demonstration Grant Program (TBI)	93.234	-	-	130,804	130,804	
Abstinence Education	93.235	-	•	545,390	545,390	
Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory	93.242	, -		: 806	; 806	
Mental Health Research Grants	93.242	32,273	•	-	32,273	
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	93.243	·	-	3,143,378	3,143,378	
Advanced Education Nursing Grant Program	93.247	-	-	256,504	256,504	
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	93.251	-	-	237,854	237,854	
Immunization Grants	93.268	-	-	4,563,024	4,563,024	
Drug Free Communities Support Program	93.276	-	-	83,970	83,970	
Drug Abuse Research Programs	93.279	544,743		-	544,743	
Mental Health National Research Service Awards for Research Training	93.282			65,046	65,046	
Centers For Disease Control & Prevention, Investigation & Tech Assistance	93.283	(2,148)	-	27,380,122	27,377,974	
Small Rural Hospital Improvement Grants	93.301	-	•	26,835	26,835	
General Clinical Research Centers	93.333	-	-	4,525	4,525	
Health Professions Student Loans, including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students	93.342	-	11,622,252	-	11,622,252	
Advanced Education Nursing Traineeship	93.358	-	-	14,159	14,159	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)	, cont.					
Nurse Education Practice and Retention Grants	93.359	-	-	271,971	271,971	
Nursing Students Loan	93.364	-	2,198,044	14,460	2,212,504	
Minority Research Training	93.375	348,620	-	(9,918)	338,702	
National Center for Research Resources	93.389	595,872	~	557,690	1,153,562	
Cancer Biology Research	93.396	-	-	108,087	108,087	
Cancer Research Manpower	93.398	-	• ·	47,116	47,116	
Food Safety and Security Monitoring Project	93.448	-	-	241,213	241,213	
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	-	-	4,672,644	4,672,644	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	93.558	٠	-	237,998,661	237,998,661	
Child Support Enforcement (CSE)	93.563	-	-	83,156,626	83,156,626	
Child Support Enforcement Research	93.564	÷	- ·	88,360	88,360	
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administrated Programs	93.566	-	*	6,656,760	6,656,760	
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance (LIHEAP)	93.568		•	43,464,757	43,464,757	
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)	93.569	-	-	8,772,586	8,772,586	
Pass-Through NYSP	93.570		-	3,191	3,191	
Refugee and Entrant Assistance- Discretionary Grants	93.576	-	•	515,775	515,775	
Refugee and Entrant Assistance-Targeted Assistance	93.584	*		1,074,185	1,074,185	
State Court Improvement Program	93.586	-	•	362,947	362,947	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	93.591	109,091	-	•	109,091	
CCDF Cluster:						
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	м.	· -	23,795,638	23,795,638	
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	93.596	-	•	57,224,438	57,224,438	

"See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

81,020,076

Total CCDF Cluster

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS),	cont.		. *			
Grants to States for Access and Visitation Programs	93.597	-		430,028	430,028	
Education and Training Vouchers	93.599	-	-	1,076,381	1,076,381	
Head Start Program	93.600	-	~	1,718,168	1,718,168	
Basic Center Grant for Runaway and Homeless Youth	93.623	-	-	206,301	206,301	
Development Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants	93.630		-	991,542	991,542	
Children's Justice Grants to States	93.643	-	•	377,732	377,732	
Child Welfare Services State Grants	93.645	-	-	4,309,119	4,309,119	
Social Services Research and Demonstration	93.647	•	-	58,593	58,593	
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658	-		124,453,217	124,453,217	
Adoption Assistance	93.659	. *	-	21,457,354	21,457,354	
Social Services Block Grant (SSBG)	93.667	-	-	54,624,637	54,624,637	
Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants	93.669	-	-	603,456	603,456	
Family Violence Prevention and Services/Grants for Battered Women's Shelters: States & Indian Tribes	93.671	-	•	1,454,573	1,454,573	
Chafee Foster Care Independent Living	93.674	•	-	3,427,076	3,427,076	
State Children's Insurance Program (CHIP)	93.767	-	-	139,441,672	139,441,672	
Medicaid Infrastructure Grants To Support the Competitive Employment of People with disabilities	93.768	-	-	384,338	384,338	
Medical Cluster:						
State Medicaid Fraud Control Units	93.775			1,703,162	1,703,162	
State Survey and Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers	93.777	-	•	5,074,759	5,074,759	
Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid)	93.778	-	-	2,968,760,237	2,968,760,237	
Total Medicaid Cluster						2,975,538,158
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779		-	1,059,182	1,059,182	
Reimbursement of State Costs for Provisions of Part D Drugs	93.794	-	-	(3,853)	(3,853)	
Cardiovascular Diseases Research	93.837	•	-	30,101	30,101	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA NUMBER	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS).	cont.					
Digestive Diseases and Nutrition Research	93.848		-	44,091	44,091	
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	93.853	-		86,610	86,610	
Pass-Through University of Pittsburg	93.853		-	222	222	
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	-	-	498,563	498,563	
Pass-Through American Psychological Association	93.859	-	•	569	569	
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	273,895	-	83,694	357,589	
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	93.865	25,772	-	103,425	129,197	
Aging Research	93.866	-	-	377,569	377,569	
Vision Research	93.867	•	<u>-</u>	(504)	(504)	
Medical Library Assistance	93.879	-	-	7,315	7,315	
Minority Access to Research Careers	93,880	-	-	1,264,786	1,264,786	
National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness	93.889	-	ű.	2,099,190	2,099,190	
Rural Health Outreach - Rural Network Development Program	93.912	•	-	170,908	170,908	
Grants to States for Operation of Offices of Rural Health	93.913	-	~	156,409	156,409	
HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914	-	-	237,862	237,862	
HIV Care Formula Grants	93.917	-	**	39,663,173	39,663,173	
Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds	93.925	·	41,758		41,758	
Public Health Service Act- Aids	93.938	-	-	74,488	74,488	
HIV Prevention Activities: Health Department Based	93.940		-	11,020,476	11,020,476	
HIV Demonstration, Research, Public/Professional Education Projects	93.941	54,509	.	145,010	199,519	
Epidemiologic Research Studies of Acquired AIDS	93.943	14	-	193	193	
HIV/AIDS Surveillance	93.944	-	-	2,135,161	2,135,161	
Pregnancy Risk Assessment	93.946	-	-	64,890	64,890	
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958	-	-	10,220,230	10,220,230	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTALS OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont. Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse 93.959 33,064,414 33,064,414 Special Minority Initiatives 93.960 40,417 40,417 Preventive Health Services: Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants 93.977 1,291,772 1,291,772 Disaster Assistance 93.982 (1,636)(1,636)Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Diabetes Control Programs & Evaluation of Surveillance Systems 93.988 306,968 306,968 International Research & Research Training 93.989 (823)(823) Preventive Health & Health Services Block Grant 93.991 1,878,750 1,878,750 Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States 93.994 11,818,531 11,818,531 National Institutes of Health 93.999 212,337,234 212,337,234 93.IR Contract / Other National Institute of Health 01LM009722 1,813 1,813 Agency for Health Care Policy and Research 93.RD 570,791 570,791 Agency for Health Care Research and Quality 93.RD 24,166 24,166 Pass-through Brigham and Women's Hospital 93.RD 6,579 6,579 Center for Disease Control and Prevention 93.RD 53,956,163 53,956,163 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 93.RD 2,294 2,294 Food and Drug Administration 93.RD 1,524,242 1,524,242 Health Resources and Services Administration 93.RD 2,585,270 2,585,270 Pass-Through American Registry of Pathology 93.RD 8,992 8,992 Pass-Through Bio-Rad Laboratories 93.RD 117,396 117,396 Pass-Through Boston University 93.RD 170,668 170,668 Pass-Through Butler Hospital 93.RD 3,482 3,482 Pass-Through Calibrant Biosystems, Inc. 93.RD (27,891)(27,891)Pass-Through Children's National Medical Center 93.RD 30.276 30,276 Pass-Through Childrens Research Institute 93.RD (3,920)(3,920)

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **TOTALS ASSISTANCE** OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont. Pass-Through Columbia University 93.RD 389,733 389,733 Pass-Through Cornell University 93.RD 253,822 253,822 Pass-Through Daedalus 93.RD (13,500)(13,500)Pass-Through Fisher Bioservices 93.RD 18,315 18,315 Pass-Through Georgetown University Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center 93.RD 126.494 126,494 Pass-Through Imperial College School of Medicine 93.RD 134,848 134,848 Pass-Through Indiana University 93.RD 144,043 144,043 Pass-Through InfoCulture, LLC 93.RD 151,851 151,851 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory 93.RD 45,930 45,930 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 93.RD 718,194 718,194 Pass-Through Kennedy Kreiger Institute 93.RD 502,498 502,498 Pass-Through Medical University of South Carolina 93.RD 25,016 25,016 Pass-Through The Mind Research Network 93.RD 135,571 135,571 Pass-Through Nanospectra Biosciences, Inc. 93.RD 713 713 Pass-Through New York University 93.RD 476,243 476,243 Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 93.RD (14,576)(14,576)Pass-Through Seahorse Bioscience, Inc. 93.RD 119.394 119,394 Pass-Through Temple University 93.RD 68.837 68,837 Pass-Through University of Alabama at Birmingham 93.RD 110,094 110,094 Pass-Through University of Cincinnati 93.RD 32,759 32,759 Pass-Through University of Illinois 93.RD 67,967 67,967 Pass-Through University of Massachussets 93.RD 31,223 31,223 Pass-Through University of Mississippi 93.RD 31,425 31,425 Pass-Through University of Pennsylvania 93.RD 13,055 13,055

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER NUMBER DEVELOPMENT FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE **ASSISTANCE TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont. Pass-Through University of Pittsburgh 93.RD 102,728 102,728 Pass-Through University of Texas 93.RD 160,738 160,738 Pass-Through University of Texas Southwestern Medical 93.RD 236,419 236,419 Pass-Through University of Toronto 93.RD 15,986 15,986 Pass-Through Urban Institute 93.RD 91 91 Administration for Children and Families 93.RD 692,956 692,956 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services 93.RD 106,613 106,613 Office of Population Affairs 93.RD 616,702 616,702 Pass-Through Morehouse University 93.RD 172,393 172,393 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration 93.RD 281,044 281,044 Total HHS 4,289,020,691 COMMISSION ON NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE (CNCS) State Commissions 94.003 281,201 281,201 Learn and Serve America: School and Community Based Programs 94.004 273,626 273,626 Learn and Serve America - Higher Education 94.005 15,798 15,798 AmeriCorps 94.006 4,545,440 4,545,440 Planning and Program Development Grants 94.007 157,884 157,884 Training and Technical Assistance 94.009 31,347 31,347 Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster: Foster Grandparents 94.011 280,485 280,485 **Total Foster Grandparents Cluster** 280,485 Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) 94.013 13,981 13,981 Corporation for National and Community Service 94.RD 1,086,854 1,086,854 **Total CNCS** 6,686,616

STUDENT CFDA RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT **ASSISTANCE TOTALS** OTHER TOTAL SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (SSA) Contract / Other 96.000 25,996 25,996 Disability Insurance, SSI Cluster: Social Security: Disability Insurance 96.001 7,005,385 7,005,385 Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 96.006 3,093,409 3,093,409 Total Social Security Administration (SSI) Cluster 10,098,794 Social Security Benefits Planning, Assistance, and Outreach Program (SSA Benefits Planning, Assistance, and Outreach Program) 96.008 1,653 1,653 Developmental Disabilities Project 96.631 42,307 42,307 Unallocated Federal Funds 96.000 157,483 157,483 Total SSA 10,326,233 HOMELAND SECURITY Urban Areas Security Initiative 97,008 5,070,920 5,070,920 Boating Safety Financial Assistance 97.012 4,333,766 4,333,766 Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Competitive Grants 97.017 1,200,040 1,200,040 Community Assistance Program State Support Services Element (CAP-SSSE) 97,023 101,675 101,675 Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) 97.029 348,340 348,340 Public Assistance Grants 97.036 4,427,910 4,427,910 Hazard Mitigation Grant (HMGP) 97.039 2,416,280 2.416.280 National Dam Safety Program 97.041 32,715 32,715 **Emergency Management Performance Grants** 97.042 3,562,600 3,562,600 State Fire Training Systems Grant 97.043 28,000 28,000 Emergency Management - Cooperating Technical Partners 97.045 522,120 522,120 Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households 97.050 84,024 84,024 Citizen Corps 97.053

316,044

316,044

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT **CFDA** RESEARCH AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE NUMBER DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER **TOTAL TOTALS** HOMELAND SECURITY, cont. Port Security Grant Program for Critical National Seaports 97.056 1,566,025 1,566,025 Homeland Security Cluster: Homeland Security Grant Program 97.067 7,698,114 7,698,114 **Total Homeland Security Cluster** 7,698,114 Map Modernization Mgmt. Support Program (MMMS) 97.070 186,305 186,305 K-9 Grant 97.072 763,868 763,868 Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program 97.074 4,015,890 4,015,890 Homeland Security - MDOT Grant 97.075 2,129,767 2,129,767 Buffer Zone Protection Program 97.078 1,581,865 1,581,865 Law Enforcement Officer Reimb. 97.090 306,191 306,191 Repetitive Flood Claim 97.092 485,251 485,251 Homeland Security Related Science Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) Career Development Program 97,104 99,824 31.532 131,356 Department of Homeland Security 97.RD 7,641,082 7,641,082 **Total Homeland Security** 48,950,148 AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas Pass-Through Institute for International Education 98.001 20,490,840 20,490,840 John Ogonowski Farmer-to-Farmer Program 98.009 99,123 99,123 USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation & Development 98.012 5,653 5,653 Total Agency for International Development 20,595,616 Total \$ 563,276,735 \$ 770,416,426 \$ 7,204,332,733 \$ 8,538,025,894 \$ 4,819,515,375

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

1. SINGLE AUDIT REPORTING ENTITY

The State includes expenditures in its Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for all Federal programs administered by the funds, agencies, boards and commissions, including component units, included in the State's reporting entity used for its basic financial statements, including the component unit higher education funds—the University System of Maryland, the Baltimore City Community College, Morgan State University, and St. Mary's College of Maryland. However, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards excludes the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment. A separate single audit is conducted for this entity, which is part of an enterprise fund of the State of Maryland.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures are recorded, accordingly, when incurred rather than when paid,

The noncash expenditures of \$15,966,000 reported under CFDA No. 10.550, Food Donation, represent the value of food commodity distributions calculated using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2007. These food commodities were received by the Maryland Department of Education from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for the year ended June 30, 2008.

The noncash expenditures of \$2,742,807 relating to the Emergency Food Assistance Program reported under CFDA No. 10.569, Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities), represent the value of food commodity distributions calculated using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2007. The food commodities were received by the Maryland Department of Human Resources from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Expenditures of \$408,445,870 reported under CFDA No. 10.551, Food Stamps, represent the fair market value of food stamps distributed for participants' food-stamp purchases during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Noncash expenditures of \$344,269 for CFDA No. 39.003, Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property, represents the average fair market value percentage, per the General Services Administration (GSA) of 25% of the federal government original acquisition cost (OAC) of the federal property transferred to recipients by the State during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

3. CATEGORIZATION OF EXPENDITURES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards reflects Federal expenditures for all individual grants that were active during the year. The categorization of expenditures by program included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is based on the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA). Changes in the categorization of expenditures occur based on revisions to the CFDA, which are issued in June and December of each year. In accordance with the State's policy, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 reflects CFDA changes issued through December 2007.

4. STATE NONMONETARY FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The State distributes Federal surplus food to institutions (schools, hospitals, and prisons) and to the needy. The total inventory balance of Federal surplus food on hand as of June 30, 2008 was \$0 for CFDA No. 10.550, Food Donation Program and \$24,319 for CFDA No. 10.569, Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities). The surplus food was valued using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2007.

When surplus property is transferred to recipients, it is valued at 25 percent of its OAC, which represents an estimated fair market value of the property transferred. The value of donated Federal surplus property on hand as of June 30, 2008 was \$0 for CFDA No. 39.003, Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property Program.

5. OTHER AUDIT FINDINGS

Other audit reports exist that have also identified findings and questioned costs affecting the State's various Federal programs during the year ended June 30, 2008. Because those issues have been previously reported to the affected Federal agencies and based on guidance received from the State's cognizant agency, the issues identified in other audit reports have not been repeated in the single audit Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended June 30, 2008.

The State believes that none of the matters questioned will have a significant impact on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

6. UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

In accordance with the Department of Labor, Office of Inspector General instructions, the State recorded State Regular Unemployment Compensation (UC) benefits under CFDA No. 17.225 on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The individual State and Federal portions are as follows:

State Regular UC benefits	\$545,362,522
Federal UC benefits	14,449,582
Federal UC administrative costs	52,038,887
Total benefits	<u>\$611,850,991</u>

7. FEDERAL MORTGAGE PLANS

The State operates several programs that purchase Federally guaranteed loans, primarily mortgages, from the originators. As the State has no responsibility for determining eligibility or compliance, these guarantees are not considered Federal financial assistance for purposes of the single audit.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS

St. Mary's College of Maryland

The College administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038). The College received no Federal funds under the Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The outstanding loan balance of \$311,949 as of June 30, 2007, and the outstanding loan balance of \$268,891 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 are not considered current year Federal expenditures. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes \$24,000 for loans issued during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the College processed the following amount of new loans under the Federal Family Education Loan Program, which includes the Stafford Loan and PLUS Loan. Since this program is administered by outside financial institutions, new loans made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 relating to this program are considered current-year Federal expenditures, whereas the outstanding loan balances are not. The new loans made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

St. Mary's College of Maryland (Continued)

CFDA Number		Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008
84.032	Stafford Loan Program	\$4,114,262
84.032	PLUS Loans	<u>4,807,893</u>
		<u>\$8,922,155</u>

Baltimore City Community College

The College administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038) and Nursing Student Loans (CFDA No. 93.364). The outstanding loan balances as of June 30, 2008 were \$200,468 and \$14,460, respectively. There were no new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The outstanding balances are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Morgan State University

The University administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038). The outstanding loan balance of \$3,314,934 as of June 30, 2007, and the loan expenditures of \$247,052 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, and the fiscal year 2008 administrative cost allowance of \$12,353 are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the University processed \$28,348,844 of new loans under the Federal Direct Loan Program (CFDA No. 84.268). Since this program is administered by outside financial institutions, the new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 relating to this program are considered current-year Federal expenditures, whereas the outstanding loan balances are not. The new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

University System of Maryland

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the System processed the following amount of new loans under the Direct Loan Program and Federal Family Education Loan Program, which includes the Stafford Loan, Plus Loan, School as Lender Program, and Graduate PLUS Loan Program. Since these loan programs are administered by outside financial institutions, new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

CFDA <u>Number</u>		Loan Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008
84.032	Stafford Loan Program	\$177,289,777
84.032	PLUS Loan	39,582,888
84.032	School as Lender Program	53,251,395
84.032	Graduate PLUS Loan	31,437,026
84.032	Alternative Loan	4,095,530
84.032	Subsidized Loan	1,129,569
84.268	Subsidized Ford Federal Direct Student Loan	105,420,272
84.268	Unsubsidized Ford Federal Direct Student Loan	107,224,645
84.268	William D Ford Federal Direct Parent Loan	31,158.218
	Total	\$550,589,320

The System also administers loans under the Economic Adjustment Assistance Program (CFDA No. 11,307). Under this program, the System uses revolving loan funds to enhance economic activity. The revolving loan fund assists business development and expansion. The outstanding loan balance as of June 30, 2007 of \$5,943,912, new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 of \$750,000 and administrative expenses of \$153,401 are considered current-year Federal expenditures and are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

University System of Maryland (Continued)

The System administers the following Federal Student Financial Assistance Programs:

CFDA Number	Outstanding Balance as of June 30, 2007	Loan Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008
84.038 Perkins Loan Programs	\$59,982,558	\$10,411,006
93.364 Federal Nursing Loan – Undergraduate	1,746,747	148,460
93.364 Federal Nursing Loan – Graduate	302,837	-0-
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Dental	4,876,585	478,200
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Medical	149,319	-0-
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Pharmacy	1,310,070	47,500
93.342 Primary Care	4,470,143	290,435
Total	<u>\$72,838,259</u>	\$11,375,601

The outstanding loan balances as of June 30, 2007 and loan expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements Type of auditor's report issued Unqualified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? yes X no Significant Deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? X none reported yes Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? X none reported yes Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified ___ yes <u>X</u> no Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? __X__ yes Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for Unqualified major programs Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133? X yes ____ no

Identification of Major Programs

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Expenditures	
10.557	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program (WIC)	\$ 84,854,171	
14.195, 14.856	Section 8 Housing Assistance Program	152,422,730	
14.239	Home Investment Partnership Program	7,093,693	
16.575	Crime Victim Assistance	8,474,822	
16.738	Edward Bryne Memorable Justice Assistance Grant Program	3,337,848	
17.258, 17.259, 17.260	WIA Cluster	30,287,722	
20.509	Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	3,866,755	
64.014 .	Veteran State Domicillary Care	1,429,801	
64.015	Veteran State Nursing Home Care	5,227,638	
84.318	Technology Literacy Challenge Fund Grant	3,856,979	
84.365	English Language Acquisition Program	7,080,458	
84.367	Improving Teacher Quality	38,039,262	
84.369	Grant For State Assessments and Related Activities	9,045,298	
93.568	Low Income Home Energy Assistance	43,464,757	
93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	237,998,661	
93.575, 93.596	CCDF Cluster	81,020,076	
93.563	Child Support Enforcement	83,156,626	
93.658	Foster Care	124,453,217	
93.667	Social Services Block Grant	54,624,637	
93.767	State Children Insurance Program	139,441,672	
93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Medicaid Cluster	2,975,538,158	

Identification of Major Programs (continued)

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Expenditures
93.958	Community Mental Health Services	10,220,230
93.959	Blk Grt. For Prevention & Treatment of Substance Abuse	33,064,414
84.007, 84.032,		
84.033, 84.038,		
84.063, 84.268,		
84.375, 84.376,	·	
93.342, 93.364,		
93.925	Student Financial Assistance Cluster	770,416,426
Various	Research and Development Programs	563,276,735
None	Passenger Facility Charges	30,263,204
		\$ 5,501,955,992

The Passenger Facility Charge relates to collections by the Maryland Aviation Administration accordance with Section 158.67(c) of 14 Code of federal Regulations Part 158, "Passenger Facility Charge" and are not technically considered to be Federal Financial Assistance as defined by OMB Circular A-133, but have been included in the scope of the audit.

Dollar threshold us	sed to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs:	\$	25,614,078
Auditee qualifies a	s low-risk Auditee?	yes	X_ no
Section II	Financial Statement Findings None		·
Section III	Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs		

Section IV

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
Fiscal Year 2007
Fiscal Year 2006

2008-1 - 2008-13

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2008-1

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Compliance deficiency over the eligibility determination process

Condition:

The Local Health Departments (LHD) are responsible for determining eligibility under the Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who apply for the expanded State Children's Insurance Program under Title XXI of the Social Security Act.

We selected a total of 65 SCHIP claims to review files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Frederick County, Howard County, Kent County and Allegany County. The test was composed of 8 newly established recipients and five existing recipients. All claims were processed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. We noted the following exceptions:

Baltimore City

Did not comply with the requirement to verify and maintain proof of citizenship in one of the eight new files tested.

Frederick County

Existing participant was denied medical assistance due to over scale income and was sent a letter regarding the premium program in 2002. Since that time, the participant has been using the premium program without any redeterminations of the eligibility status by either the premium department, DHR or DHMH. There was no file available for review and within the CARES system, there had only been information through January 16, 2002.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

Finding 2008-1 (continued)

Criteria:

OMB A-133 states that "States are required to include in their State plans a description of the standards used to determine eligibility of targeted low-income children." Under the State plan, only targeted low-income children who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance coverage (including access to a state health benefits plan) are furnished child health assistance under the state child health plan.

The following are standards for eligibility determinations per OMB A-133 and Maryland's State Plan:

- 1. Children under age 19
- 2. Countable income is at or below 200% of the federal poverty level (FPL)
- 3. Pregnant women of any age whose countable income is at or below 250% FPL
- 4. Current resident of the State of Maryland
- 5. Applicants are required to provide a Social Security Number or apply for a Social Security Number
- 6. A U.S. Citizen
- 7. Qualified aliens, as defined at 8 USC 1641, who entered the US on or after August 22, 1996, are not eligible for SCHIP for a period of five years, beginning on the date the alien became a qualified alien, unless the alien is exempt from this five year bar under the terms of 8USC 1613.
- 8. Eligibility must be redetermined at least every 12 months.

Cause:

LHD personnel did not obtain or maintain the necessary documentation to support the eligibility determinations.

Effect:

Since documentation and verifications were not performed in accordance with program requirements and cases could not be located, DHMH does not have adequate assurance that eligibility for SCHIP is being properly determined.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008- 1 (continued)

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH's Local Health Departments comply with established Federal and State regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining and maintaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

DHMH agrees with the finding and recommendation for Baltimore City and has once again reinforced the citizenship and identity requirements to the Baltimore City Health Department (Baltimore Health Care Access). Baltimore Health Care Access senior management staff has assured us that they have re-trained staff regarding these requirements and are conducting internal audits as a follow-up measure.

The Department concurs with the finding for the Frederick County case as referenced above. Upon research, it was discovered the case was not sent on the daily auxiliary file from CARES as eligible for redetermination of current eligibility status. However, as of December 17, 2008, the client was denied medical assistance due to over scale income and was referred to the MCHP Premium Program. To date, the client has been deemed eligible for MCHP Premium. Additional analysis is being completed to determine the cause for the transmission error with the auxiliary file. In addition, MCHP Premium staff is reviewing the redetermination process for overall efficiency and process improvement. In the interim, reports are being generated and worked monthly by the MCHP Premium Unit to ensure timely results of application processing and redetermination status.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-2

Department:

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Program:

Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

CFDA Number:

93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Internal Control deficiency over the Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control System (MEQC).

Condition:

The MEQC failed to have evidence of the supervisory review over the pilot project Title XIX Low Income Children and Pregnant Women.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133, Part 4- Department of Health and Human Services-Compliance Supplement, Section III E.1.d.(1) indicates, "States are required to operate a MEQC system in accordance with requirements established by CMS. According to 42CFR431.812, "The agency must review all active cases selected from the State agency's lists of cases authorized eligible for services during all or part of the month under review, and if appropriate, whether the proper amount of recipient liability was computed.

In order to verify eligibility information, the agency must:

- Examine and analyze each case record for all cases under review to establish what information is available for use in determining eligibility in the review month;
- Conduct field investigations
- Verify all appropriate elements of eligibility for active cases
- Determine the basis on which eligibility was established and the eligibility status of the active case and each case member;
- Collect copies of State paid claims
- Associate dollar values with eligibility status for each active case under review
- Complete the payment, case, and review information for all individuals

As an internal control process implemented in the MEQC department, a supervisor reviews all of the above as prepared by the case worker. The review is evidenced by the supervisors

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-2 (continued)

signature and date of review signifying the case worker was appropriate with the determination of the eligibility status.

Cause:

The MEQC department could not show evidence of a supervisory review for the Low Income Children and Pregnant Women pilot program.

Effect:

DHMH cannot provide CMS assurance that the MEQC program is effective in reducing erroneous expenditures.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH follow the criteria outlined in 42CFR431.812 by reviewing the selected active case files and providing the supervisory review to make sure the determinations were appropriate.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

DHMH agrees with the finding and recommendation. The Office of Eligibility Services (OES) confirmed that all supervisory reviews for the MEQC pilot program were performed appropriately, but that evidence of some reviews was not retained. OES senior management staff has directed the MEQC program to retain all records for the Federally-mandated retention period.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-3

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Substance Abuse Treatment and Prevention Block Grant CFDA No. 93,959

US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Sub recipient Monitoring.

Condition:

The Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant program is administered by the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA), which is a division of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH). The division director for ADAA indicated that compliance reviews of the sub-recipients are conducted bi-annually. AFNW reviewed twenty-five (25) files that contain documents related to the award of Federal funds to local health departments (LHDs) and private vendors ("sub recipients") to obtain reasonable assurance that site visits to evaluate the program were conducted in accordance with the General Requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the conditions of grant award imposed by ADAA. We noted that of the twenty five files reviewed there was one (1) site that was not visited and one (1) of the files that required corrective actions but ADAA failed to obtain a corrective action plan from the recipients.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133, General Requirements, Part 3, subpart M, states in pertinent part that during the award a pass-through entity is responsible for "monitoring the sub-recipient's use of Federal awards through...site visits, regular contact...or other means to provide reasonable assurance that the sub recipient administers Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements and that performance goals are achieved." In addition, when there are findings as a result of compliance review, ADAA's letter requires the sub-recipient to "make the necessary corrections in your program's procedures and submit a copy of your plan of correction...within thirty (30) days of the date of this letter."

Cause:

DHMH failed to perform site visits in accordance with OMB A-133 and its internal control procedures, and failed to document other means of evaluating the program services performed by sub-recipients.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

Finding 2008-3 (continued)

Effect:

Awards were made to sub recipients without proper follow-up through site visits to ensure that the sub recipients were complying with laws and regulations.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH adhere to the provisions of OMB Circular A-133, conduct site visits and follow-up on its findings as called for in OMB Circular A-133 and in its agreement with local health departments and private vendors.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The ADAA concurs with the finding and recommendation. ADAA acknowledges that one of the twenty-five site visits (.04%) was not reviewed and one file did not include a corrective action plan to ensure 100% compliance with the federal requirements. However, we do not concur with the *Cause* and *Effect* comments as noted above. In the future, ADAA will ensure that 100% of the required site visits are performed. Furthermore, ADAA will obtain corrective action plans, when appropriate, based on the seriousness of the compliance review infraction.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-4

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Substance Abuse Treatment and Prevention Block Grant CFDA No. 93.959

US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Special Test & Provisions (Independent Peer Review)

Condition:

The Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA), which is a division of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), hires private contractors to perform its peer review functions. The selection of a particular contractor is made from an established list of approved vendors. However, once a selection of a contractor is made, there is no documentation of the independence of that contractor with respect to the sub-recipient that he or she is asked to review. As part of the peer review process, the sub-recipient is asked to complete a Peer Review Follow-Up Questionnaire. We noted that in one instance when asked what parts of the peer review could be improved, the sub-recipient responded "I would also think it would be better for the reviewer to be from out of the area."

Criteria:

Part IV, paragraph III, subparagraph N of the specific requirements for the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant program provides in pertinent part "the State must provide independent peer reviews which assess the quality, appropriateness, and efficacy of treatment services provided to individuals." The requirements further provide "the State shall ensure that the peer reviewers are independent by ensuring that the peer review does not involve reviewers reviewing their own programs and the peer review is not conducted as part of the licensing or certification process."

Cause:

DHMH failed to document the independence of its peer reviewers in accordance with OMB A-133.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-4 (continued)

Effect:

Awards are made to sub-recipients whose programs are reviewed by contractors that may not be independent of those sub-recipients. This could lead to noncompliance with laws and regulations.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH develop a mechanism, such as an affidavit, for contractors to establish their independence with respect to the sub-recipient they are asked to review in order to adhere to the provisions of OMB Circular A-133.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The ADAA agrees that it would be beneficial to develop an affidavit for contractors to establish their independence with respect to the sub-recipient they are asked to review in order to adhere to the provisions of OMB Circular A-133.

The ADAA notes that Independent Peer Reviewers never review their own programs and the reviews are not conducted as part of the licensing or certification process. The program that responded, "I would think it would be better for the reviewer to be from out of the area." was from the same multi-county geographical region as the reviewer but not from the same jurisdiction. The referenced peer reviewer held no relationship or interest in the reviewed program.

Reviewers are screened and asked verbally if they are independent of the program that they would be assigned to review, but documentation to that effect had not been placed in the record. The Administration will require peer reviewers to sign an affidavit regarding each peer review they conduct. The affidavit will clearly attest to their independence from the program to be reviewed. This affidavit will be used for all future peer reviews.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-5

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program (FWS) U.S. Department of Education (ED)

Morgan State University did not meet the earmarking requirement for federal work study to provide a 7% earmark for community service.

Condition:

Morgan State University did not meet the mandated earmark requirement of the federal guidelines.

Criteria:

An institution must use at least seven percent of the sum of its initial and supplemental FWS allocations for an award year to compensate students employed in community service activities unless waived by the Secretary of Education. In meeting the community service requirement, an institution must include at least one:

- (i) Reading tutoring project that employs one or more FWS students as reading tutors for children who are preschool age or are in elementary school; or
- (ii) Family literacy project that employs one or more FWS students in family literacy activities.

The Secretary may waive the requirements if the Secretary determines that an institution has demonstrated that enforcing the requirements will/would cause a hardship for students at the institution.

Cause:

The University was unable to maintain the level of participation in community services necessary to meet the mandated earmark of at least 7% of its FWS allocation for the award year ended June 30, 2008 as a result of a reduction in its Student Financial Aid staff and coupled with a loss in a community service project.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-5 (continued)

Effect:

As a result of the failure to meet the mandated 7% federal earmark, the University's community program for tutoring preschool children and family literacy activities was not accomplished as planned.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that Morgan implement stronger oversight to ensure that the mandated earmark is met to provide tutoring and family literacy activities. We noted, however, the request for a waiver was submitted to Department of Education (ED), however, no response had been received from ED at conclusion of audit fieldwork.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The University was aware of this situation and had taken appropriate corrective action before the audit started. As noted by the auditors, due to a staffing shortage and the loss of the program to which the community services were directed, the University did not meet the 7% requirement for fiscal year 2008. However, as provided for in the regulations, the University requested a waiver from this requirement and filed this with its Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) report in September 2008 and, as of March 4, 2009 it has not received a response to its request for a waiver. The University has already met the requirement for the current 2009 fiscal year, and does not expect the situation to be repeated in the future.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated, pending the receipt of ED's acceptance of the waiver request.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-6

Baltimore City Community College

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007	Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant
CFDA No. 84.063	Federal Pell Grant Program
CFDA No. 84.033	Federal Work Study Program
CFDA No. 84.038	Federal Perkins Loan Program
CFDA No. 84.375	Academic Competitiveness Grant

U.S Department of Education (ED)

Baltimore City Community College was not in compliance with Federal requirements over the return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

During testing of return of Title IV funds, we noted 6 occurrences where the College did not return Title IV funds within the timeframe established by guidelines in the OMB Circular A-133, some being returned up to 2 months later than required.

Criteria:

When a recipient of Title IV Grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV aid earned by the student as of the student's withdrawal date. If the total amount of the Title IV assistance earned by the student is less than the amount that was disbursed to the student on his or her behalf as of the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew, the difference must be returned to the Title IV programs as outlined in this section and no additional disbursements may be made to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment. If the amount the student earned is greater than the amount disbursed, the difference between the amounts must be treated as a post-withdrawal disbursement (34CFR Sections 668.22(a) (1)-(3)). Post-Withdrawal disbursements must be made from available grant funds before available loan funds. If the institution wishes to credit the student's account with a post-withdrawal disbursement of loan funds or wishes to pay a post-withdrawal disbursement of either loan or grant funds directly to the student, or parent in the case of a parent PLUS loan, the institution must, within 30 days of the date the institution determines that the student withdrew, send a written notification to the student.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-6 (continued)

Cause:

BCCC lacked effective internal controls to ensure that the return of Title IV funds are being disbursed properly and on a timely basis.

Effect:

Without proper monitoring of controls over the return of Title IV funds, BCCC failed to return Federal funds in the required timeframe. Eventually BCCC would end up owing interest to the Federal government.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that the College implement stronger controls over the return of the Title IV funds process. Additional supervisory and/or management level review and approval may strengthen controls to ensure that funds are returned in a timely manner.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

BCCC agrees with the recommendation. The BCCC Financial Aid Office has increased the frequency of its supervisory review and approval process for the return of Title IV funds. In order to facilitate compliance with the return of Title IV timeframe, members of the Financial Aid Staff and Student Accounting will meet one month prior to the start of registration to set the appropriate calendar checkpoints to track and monitor the deadlines needed for processing the return of Title IV funds accurately and within regulatory timeframes.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-7

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE)

CFDA 84.318	Education Technology State Grants
CFDA 84.365	English Language Acquisition Grants
CFDA 84.367	Improving Teacher Quality State Grants
CFDA 84.369	State Assessments and Related Act
CFDA 93.575	Child Care and Development Block Grant
CFDA 93.596	Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development
	Fund

U.S. Department of Education (ED)

MSDE has internal control weaknesses over reconciliation of the Schedule of Active Federal Grants (Schedule G) to supporting documentation

Condition:

As part of the Schedule G testing, we reviewed subsequent collections on receivables to determine whether receivables were accurate, properly recorded and collectible. We noted that MSDE reported receivables/liabilities on grants that had expired per their respective periods of availability.

Criteria:

Objectives of internal control pertaining to the compliance requirements for federal programs noted in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133.105(1)(i) and (2)(i) are that:

"Transactions are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of reliable financial statements and Federal reports...[and]...transactions are executed in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a direct and material effect on a Federal program."

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-7 (continued)

Criteria: (continued)

Furthermore OMB Circular A-133.300 (b) states "the auditee shall maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Cause:

The accounts receivable balances for the Federal Programs listed above had been, in certain instances, understated and overstated as a result of a lack of performance of fiscal year end reconciliations, related to documentation of supervisory review for the required accounting adjustments per the grants respective periods of availability.

Effect:

The combined overstatement of receivables for the grants noted above that had exceeded their periods of availability was \$7,706,115.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that MSDE monitor periods of availability by determining which grants have expired on a monthly basis. MSDE's monitoring should include developing accounting policies and procedures to ensure that not only are draws not being made on expired grants, but also that receivable balances do not remain in R*Stars for expired grants. MSDE must also determine the cause for either not reporting expenditures timely, or not tracking receipt of federal payments for specified grants in order to validate the receivable balances.

We also recommend that MSDE adjust for receivables that are uncollectible or reclassify expenditures as State expenditures. MSDE should consider developing accounting policies and procedures to account for and remit any overdrawn funds, or apply against future expenditures, on all federal grants in a timely manner.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-7 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

MSDE concurs with the Finding and Recommendation.

The Division of Business Services (DBS) has developed the following corrective action plan:

- 1. Journal entries are being developed to correct the FY08 overstated and understated accounts receivable balances cited in the audit finding. These entries will be booked by the April, 2009 close and will clear the aforementioned \$7,706,115. In addition, they will not result in the reclassification of expenditures to State expenditures or adjusting receivables to an uncollectible status.
- 2. All FY08 Schedule G liabilities will be identified, analyzed and cleared by the April, 2009 close. Furthermore, balances for all cited closed grants will be cleared to zero by the April, 2009 close.
- 3. The following actions will be taken on an on-going basis to ensure that the FY09 Schedule G reflects only current, accurate and collectible grant balances:
 - a. A beginning FY09 Schedule G will be established.
 - b. Using the beginning FY09 Schedule G grant balances will be monitored on a continual basis thereby ensuring that only current and active grants are included therein.
 - c. DBS will work closely with the Financial and Reporting Branch to ensure that expenditures are booked in the proper time period.
 - d. DBS will monitor the movement of expenses to ensure that draw downs have been appropriately and accurately made within the period of availability.
 - e. DBS will investigate and resolve on a timely basis any balance determined to be a liability or any balance on a grant that has been closed.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-8

Maryland Department of Veterans' Affairs (MDVA)

CFDA No. 64.014 Veteran State Domiciliary Care CFDA No. 64.015 Veteran State Nursing Care

U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs (USVA)

The State of Maryland's Veterans Home Program has Internal control weakness over reconciliation of the Schedule G.

Condition:

We noted that one receipt of \$596,073 with an effective date in R*Stars of June 1, 2008, was not reported in Schedule G as a cash receipt for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008 or as a receivable for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007.

Criteria:

The auditee's responsibilities for federal programs are noted in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Subpart C §.300(a) and state that the auditee must:

"Identify, in its accounts, all Federal awards received and expended and the Federal programs under which they were received. Federal program and award identification shall include, as applicable, the CFDA title and number, award number and year, name of the Federal agency, and name of the pass-through entity."

The objectives of internal control for federal programs are noted in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Subpart A § .105(1)(i) and stipulate that:

"Transactions are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of reliable financial statements and Federal reports"

Furthermore OMB Circular A-133. Subpart C §.300 (b) states "the auditee shall maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-8 (continued)

Cause:

The cash receipts balances for the Federal program listed above had been understated as a result of a lack of performance of reconciliations and related documented supervisory review.

Effect:

The understatement of cash receipts on the Schedule G and the R*Stars reports for the Federal program was \$596,073.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Schedule G be prepared from R*Stars reports and record of any necessary adjustments or reconciliations be maintained with appropriate supporting documentation.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We believe the receipt of \$596,073.42 were federal funds and deposited in the Veterans Affairs account in error. The money may belong to another state agency. We will research this matter and obtain a resolution. In the future, Schedule G will be prepared from R*Stars reports and the record of any necessary adjustments or reconciliations will be maintained with appropriate supporting documentation.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-9

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR)

CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program CFDA No. 17.259 – WIA Youth Activities CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

U. S. Department of Labor (DOL)

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

DLLR's Division of Workforce Development, WIA Cluster, was not in compliance with Federal OMB Circular A-133 requiring on-site monitoring review of each local area's compliance with Department of Labor (DOL) uniform administrative requirements, including the appropriate administrative requirements and cost principles for sub-recipients and other entities receiving Workforce Investment Act (WIA) funds.

The test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) files disclosed internal control weaknesses in case file documentation. Many of the files examined did not contain case notes which is a required procedure per review of DLLR procedures. The case notes not found in the files were maintained on the Maryland Workforce Exchange System. Also several files did not have the required Individual Employment Plan (IEP).

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133 (Compliance Supplement) states in part:

"Each State must conduct an annual on-site monitoring review of each local area's compliance with Department of Labor (DOL) uniform administrative requirements, including the appropriate administrative requirements and cost principles for subrecipients and other entities receiving Workforce Investment Act (WIA) funds."

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-9 (continued)

Cause:

Out of 25 files selected for testing for a LWIB, we found 21 files had case management notes maintained on their system and not in the individual's file and 4 of the files contained no Individual Employment Plan.

Effect:

DLLR was unable to ensure that the LWIB's are in compliance with DOL administrative requirements for sub-recipients receiving WIA funds and OMB Circular A-133 compliance requirements.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend DLLR provide technical assistance to all LWIB's regarding DOL administrative requirements, proper file maintenance and documentation. We also recommend that DLLR's program monitors place added emphasis on file maintenance when performing sub-recipient monitoring.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

DLLR concurs with your recommendations and will continue to provide technical assistance to all LWIB's regarding DOL administrative requirements, proper file maintenance and documentation. DLLR's program monitors will place added emphasis on file maintenance. Our policies will be discussed and reviewed with the LWIB during the monitoring entrance conference and documented in our monitoring reports.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2008 -10

University System of Maryland – Coppin State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.063-Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

U.S. Department of Education (ED)

Inadequate controls over Pell Payment Data (disbursement records) being submitted to the Department of Education in the required time frame.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit origination and disbursement records no more than 30 days before or after a disbursement is to be paid. We noted for 2 of the 25 student records selected for testing, the disbursement record was reported to the Department of Education (ED) more than 30 days prior to the actual disbursement being made.

Criteria:

Per A133 requirements, an institution must submit Pell origination and disbursement records to the DOE through the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) system. Origination records can be sent well in advance of any disbursements, as early as the school chooses to submit them for any student the school reasonably believes will be eligible for a payment. An institution follows up with a disbursement record for that student no more than 30 days before a disbursement is to be paid. The disbursement record reports the actual disbursement date and the amount of the disbursement. Institutions must report student payment data within 30 calendar days after the school makes a payment or becomes aware of the need to make an adjustment to previously reported student payment data or expected student payment data

Cause:

Without proper record keeping assuring effective internal controls over the preparation and submission of disbursement records for the Pell Grant, there is no assurance that the ED is receiving complete and accurate data within the specified time period from the institution.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs, (Continued)

Finding 2008 –10 (continued)

Effect:

Without proper controls in place, there is no assurance that accurate disbursement data is being reported to the ED.

Questioned Costs:

None.

Recommendation:

We recommend that Coppin State University establish and implement internal controls over reporting Pell payment data to the COD within the established time frames to ensure compliance with Federal regulations.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Coppin State University is researching the cause of this error. In the meantime, the University has identified the proper reports to support a manual review of the data that flows between COD and Coppin State University. The University has implemented a manual review control using the system reports to ensure timely reporting of Pell payment data to the COD.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2008 – 11

University System of Maryland - Coppin State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.063-Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

CFDA No. 84.268-Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

CFDA No. 84.038- Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)

CFDA No. 84.007- Federal Supplemental Educations Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

U.S. Department of Education (ED)

Improper calculation of Title IV refunds.

Condition:

During our testing of the Return of Title IV funds, we reviewed the refund calculations for 25 students. For 2 out of the 25 students, the calculation of the unearned amount of Title IV assistance was not in accordance with federal regulations. We also noted that there was no management approval noting review of the calculated unearned amount or noting the return of these unearned funds to the Department of Education (ED).

Criteria:

34 CFR Section 668.22 states, in part, that an institution is required to have a fair and equitable refund policy. It also specifies the maximum time frame for the institution to return Student Assistance (SA) portion of a refund to program accounts. For the PELL, FDLP, FESOG, and FPL programs, an institution must return the Federal portion within 30 calendar days of the date the student officially withdrawals or the date the school discovers that the student has unofficially withdrawn.

Per 34 CFR Section 668.22, when a recipient of title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date. The unearned portion of Title IV funds must be returned to ED within 30 calendar days of the date the student officially withdraws.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008 – 11 (continued)

Cause:

Coppin State made errors in calculating the amount due to ED.

Effect:

Without proper controls in place, there is no assurance that the correct amount of Title IV funds are being returned to ED.

Questioned Costs:

Indeterminable

Recommendation:

We recommend that Coppin State University strengthen internal controls over the calculation of Title IV funds. These controls should consist of proper documentation, supervision, and calculation of the refunds within the required time frames.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Coppin State University will strengthen internal controls over the calculation of the return of funds to the ED by retraining the employees that are involved in the process. The Director of Financial Aid will perform spot checks to verify and insure the accuracy of the calculation. In fiscal year 2008, Coppin State University disbursed \$19,697,220 in Title IV funding to their students. The unearned amount for the students in the finding amounted to \$1,267.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008 - 12

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter- and Intra-State cases

Condition:

When the Child Support Administration division receives an interstate case, Maryland as the initiating state, has twenty (20) days to refer the case to the responding state's central registry after determining that the non-custodial parent is located in another state, and if necessary, receipt of any necessary information to process the case. During our review, we noted that in six (6) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 45.15% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not referred to the responding state's central registry within twenty (20) days after determining that the non-custodial parent was located in another state and after receipt of all information necessary to process the case.

When the Child Support Administration division receives a request for more information from a responding state, Maryland as the initiating state has thirty (30) calendar days to either provide the responding state with the requested information or notify the responding state when the information will be provided. In three (3) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases reviewed, or 23.08% of the cases, the requested information was not provided to the responding state nor was the date that the requested information would be provided documented in the case file.

When the Child Support Administration division receives an interstate case and Maryland is responding state, within ten (10) calendar days Maryland's central registry must: acknowledge receipt of the case; ensure that all documentation received from the initiating state is complete; request any missing information necessary to process the case; forward the case to the correct local agency for location services or processing; and inform the initiating state where the case was sent for processing. In three (3) of the twelve (12) responding cases reviewed or 25% of cases, one or more of the actions required in the ten (10) day timeframe was not completed.

When Maryland's central registry receives an inquiry from a responding state pertaining to the case's status or for a review, the central registry has five (5) days to respond to the initiating state's request. In one (1) of the twelve (12) responding cases, or 8.33% of cases, Maryland's response to an initiating state's request for a case's status or for a review was not noted in the case file within five (5) days of receipt of the initiating state's inquiry.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008- 12 (continued)

Condition (continued):

After a Child Support order has been established, within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency, Maryland as the responding/enforcing state must take and document some enforcement action was taken and documented unless a service of process is necessary. In eight (8) of twelve (12) responding cases reviewed, or 66.67% of responding cases, no enforcement action was taken and documented within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency.

The Federal guidelines require that the agency responsible for Child Support Enforcement attempts to establish paternity and a support obligation for children born out of wedlock. During our testing, we noted that (1) of (13) initiating cases reviewed, or 7.69% of initiating cases, no paternity and support obligation was established.

The Federal guidelines require that the Child Support attempts to secure medical support information, and establish and enforce medical support obligations for all individuals eligible for DCSE services. During our testing, we noted that in (1) of (13) initiating cases reviewed, or 7.69% of initiating cases, no medical support obligation was established and enforced.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2005, Single Audit Report finding number 2005-16; Fiscal Year 2006, Single Audit Report finding number 2006-5; and Fiscal Year 2007 Single Audit Report finding number 2007-12.

Criteria:

Per the Child Support Manual Section B.102, the Child Support Enforcement Agency must open a case in no more than 20 days of the receipt of a referral or the filing of an application for services.

45 CFR 303.7 (b) (2) states, "... within 20 calendar days of determining that the noncustodial parent is in another State, and, if appropriate, receipt of any necessary information needed to process the case, refer any interstate IV-D case to the responding State's interstate central registry for action, including requests for location, document verification, administrative reviews in Federal income tax refund offset cases, income withholding, and State income tax refund offset in IV-D cases."

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008- 12 (continued)

Criteria (continued):

45 CFR 303.7 (b) (4) states that the initiating state must "provide the IV-D agency or central registry in the responding State with any requested additional information or notify the responding State when the information will be provided within 30 calendar days of receipt of the request for information by submitting an updated form, or a computer-generated replica in the same format and containing the same information, and any necessary additional documentation."

45 CFR 303.7 (a) (2) states that "Within 10 working days of receipt of an interstate IV-D case from an initiating State, the central registry must:

- (i) Ensure that the documentation submitted with the case has been reviewed to determine completeness;
- (ii) Forward the case for necessary action either to the State PLS for location services or to the appropriate agency for processing;
- (iii) Acknowledge receipt of the case and ensure that any missing documentation has been requested from the initiating State; and
- (iv) Inform the IV-D agency in the initiating State where the case was sent for action."

45 CFR 303.7 (a) (4) states that "The central registry must respond to inquiries from other States within 5 working days of receipt of the request for a case status review."

45 CFR 303.6 (c) (2) states that the Child Support Enforcement agency must take "...any appropriate enforcement action (except income withholding and Federal and State income tax refund offset) unless service of process is necessary, within no more than 30 calendar days of identifying a delinquency or other support-related non-compliance with the order or the location of the noncustodial parent, whichever occurs later. If service of process is necessary prior to taking an enforcement action, service must be completed (or unsuccessful attempts to serve process must be documented in accordance with the State's guidelines defining diligent efforts under Sec. 303.3(c)), and enforcement action taken if process is served, within no later than 60 calendar days of identifying a delinquency or other support-related non-compliance with the order, or the location of the noncustodial parent, whichever occurs later..."

45 CFR 303.3(b) (3) states that the agency responsible for child support enforcement (IV-D agency) attempt to establish paternity and a support obligation for children born out of wedlock. The IV-D agency must establish a support obligation where paternity is not an issue by providing services within specified time frames to ensure that these services are carried out.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-12 (continued)

Criteria (continued):

45 CFR 303.31 states that the IV-D agency attempt to secure medical support information, and establish and enforce medical support obligations for all individuals eligible for DCSE services. The IV-D agency must determine whether the custodial parent and child have satisfactory health insurance other than Medicaid. If not, the agency must petition the court to include medical support in the form of health insurance coverage in all new or modified orders for support. In cases where medical support is ordered, the agency is required to verify that it was obtained or enforce the court order if it was not obtained. Finally, the agency shall inform the Medicaid agency when a new or modified order for child support includes medical support and shall provide information to the custodial parent concerning the health insurance policy secured under any order.

Cause:

The inadequate internal controls over the various child support cases caused DHR to be out of compliance with several of the Federal guidelines.

Effect:

DHR is unable to meet and follow Federal guidelines in child support cases and is non-compliant with certain areas of the Federal guidelines.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHR put a process in place in which DHR ensures all staff members are aware of the Federal guidelines and timelines and put tracking mechanisms in place to ensure that the guidelines and timelines are being met such as system prompts when all Federal timelines are coming due. We recommend DHR adheres to documented internal controls so that supervisors are also aware of impending Federal timelines for Child Support interstate and intrastate cases to ensure compliance with Federal guidelines.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008-12 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The Child Support Enforcement Administration (CSEA) agrees, however, only one (1) out of the 13 thirteen initiating cases reviewed or 7.69% was out of compliance for failure to forward the cases to the responding state's Central Registry Department within the (20) twenty days after determining that the non-custodial parent was located in another state and after receipt of all information necessary to process the case. The variance in the number of cases discrepancies is attributed to the fact that this Agency conducted an internal review of all Interstate Initiating and Responding Cases cited in this audit, and determined that all but one (1) of the Cases identified in Finding 2008-13, were in compliance as provided in 45CFR 303.7(b) (2), except Maryland Case Number 50089286.

CSEA also agrees that eight (8) out of twenty-five (25) delinquent cases were out of compliance for failure to take enforcement action and documenting the cases within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency. The eight (8) cases in error were limited to a particular jurisdiction. CSEA will strengthen its process with this jurisdiction to ensure that enforcement action is taken on the eight (8) cases sited in this audit report, and also ensure that enforcement action is taken on delinquent cases.

However, CSEA does not concur with the finding that the Administration does not have adequate internal controls in place for Interstate and Intra- state cases. CSEA also disagrees with the auditor's statement on "Cause" which states that, the inadequate internal controls over the various child support cases caused Department of Human Resources to be out of compliance with several of "The Federal guidelines."

CSEA has tracking mechanisms and processes in place to facilitate internal control over the Administration's Interstate cases. The following tracking tools are used to track Interstate cases: (1) The Federal Self Assessment Review, (2) The Maryland Central Registry Interstate Tracking System (CITS), (3) CSEA Quality Control Report and (4) CSEA Staff Training Academy.

(1) Federal Self Assessment Review Tool

The Federal Self Assessment Review is an evaluating and measuring tool, required by the Federal Office of Child Support (OCSE), to determine whether the state of Maryland is meeting the Federal case processing criteria. It is also used to conduct the Program Audit on Interstate case processing (45 CFR 303.7).

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008- 12 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan (continued):

Maryland's CSEA passed the Self Assessment Review in 2007 and 2008.

(2) Maryland Responding Case Tracking System (CITS)

The Maryland Responding Case Tracking System (CITS) is an interstate tracking system, which assists in the management of responding case request/s processed by the Interstate Central Registry. CITS provides the following information and services: (1) The date the case request was received by Maryland CSEA, (2) scans the documents associated with the case, and (3) provides acknowledge-ment to the other state and date stamps the time that the request was sent to the local child support office. The support given to the auditors depicts the responding cases identified in this report and highlights the fact that the cases were in compliance.

(3) Quality Control Review

Maryland CSEA has a Quality Control Review Tool (QCR) which is used to provide oversight for the 24 local jurisdictions to ensure that (1) cases are processed according to the federal and state requirements, (2) that the information in the automated Child support Enforcement System (CSES) is accurate and completed in a timely manner, and (3) that the paper/hardcopy files contain the necessary documents to support the data in CSES.

(4) Training Academy

Maryland CSEA has a Maryland's Child Support Enforcement Program Training Academy that provides ongoing training for all child support staff. The Academy has a New Staff Academy that has an Introduction to Child Support Enforcement course. This course provides training on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement for new staff as well as supervisors. It also educates staff on the Federal timelines, and provides re-training on the Federal guidelines.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008 - 13

Department of Human Resources (DHR)
CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Inadequate Internal controls over sub-recipient monitoring.

Condition:

DHR enters into agreements, called Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements, with local government departments, such as sheriff's departments, State's Attorney's Offices and County Masters for these entities to conduct such tasks as to issue warrants and summonses related to establishing or enforcing Child Support; conducting hearings to establish paternity, child support or medical support obligations, and conducting hearings to enforce or modify support orders.

The Contract Services Division is responsible for monitoring the local government entities' performance on the contracts. The Contract Services Division performs its monitoring duties by issuing four monitoring reports for the year for each entity.

During our testing, we noted:

- 1. Monitoring reports were not issued on a quarterly basis for (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 2. No corrective action plans were taken by DHR to correct deficiencies for (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 3. For (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative reimbursement agreements reviewed, quarterly monitoring reports were not reviewed by a supervisor as evidenced by a supervisor's initials.

Criteria:

OMB-Circular A-133 Subpart C Section .300 (b) states, "The auditee shall maintain internal controls over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008 - 13 (continued)

Cause:

Department of Human Resources personnel did not provide the necessary reports from the sub-recipient to ensure compliance.

Effect:

Since documentation and verifications were not performed in accordance with program requirements, DHR does not have adequate assurance that sub-recipient monitoring is in compliance with the Child Support Program.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Department of Human Resources strengthen its internal control procedures to adequately monitor sub-recipient compliance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133 subpart D.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Finding #1:

Monitoring reports were not issued on a quarterly basis for (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.

CSEA agrees with the finding. However, the Administration did provide the second and fourth quarter monitoring reports for the Cooperative Agreement sited in the finding. CSEA has initiated a corrective action plan to put procedures in place to help correct this finding. The proposed completion date for this corrective action plan is September 30, 2009.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2008 – 13 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan (continued):

Finding #2:

No corrective action plans were taken by DHR to correct deficiencies for (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.

CSEA disagrees with the overall finding. CSEA agrees that (1) of (7) Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements (CRA) was deficient. However, this jurisdiction has exceeded three out of four of its goals and is well within a compliance rate of 87% for the combined total of all of goals. Therefore, Agency does not agree that there have been no corrective actions plans taken to correct the deficiency.

Finding #3:

For (1) of the (7), or 14.29% of cooperative reimbursement agreements reviewed, quarterly monitoring reports were not reviewed by a supervisor as evidenced by a supervisor's initials.

CSEA agrees with the finding. CSEA has initiated a corrective action plan to put procedures in place to help correct this finding. The proposed completion date for this corrective action plan is September 30, 2009.

Auditors' Conclusion:

SECTION IV – SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Finding - GAS 2007-1

Morgan State University

Morgan State University has ineffective oversight of the University's financial reporting and internal controls

Condition:

During the audit we observed several significant weaknesses in internal controls; namely.

- a. Management was unable to locate student files when requested for testing of certain Maryland Higher Education Commission report criteria. This may cause concern over funding amounts provided to the University.
- b. Management was unable to provide financial data that reconciled with schedules provided for the audit. This condition required numerous adjusting entries to correct financial data, subsequent to report submission to the State.
- c. Management did not timely locate financial statement errors until the audit was underway, indicating inadequate oversight by management.
- d. Management did not discover errors in grants receivable detail reports. Significant audit procedures were required to identify corrections.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

During the audit of fiscal year 2007, auditors observed significant weaknesses in the University's internal controls to include the inability to locate student files in a timely manner. Also, financial data did not reconcile to supporting schedules and financial statements contained errors. Accordingly, the auditors proposed several recommendations. Based upon those recommendations, the University did engage appropriate actions to remedy those weaknesses and enhance the audit process. These corrective actions included a more comprehensive filing system that was implemented in the Registrars Office. Additional effort was employed to ensure supporting schedules were reconciled to the financial Statements. A more practical approach was adopted to ensure timely communications between staff and the auditors. These actions reduce the possibility that the University will be cited for Statement of Auditing Standards (SAS 112) for fiscal year 2008 or future audits.

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Finding - GAS 2007-1

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (continued)

The auditors made five specific recommendations to which our responses are as follows:

Auditors' Recommendation (a):

Periodic reviews take place for balance sheet accounts to determine that all transactions are accurate and complete.

Response:

The Office of the University Controller performs monthly reconciliations of balance sheet accounts and makes appropriate corrections and adjustments.

Auditors' Recommendation (b):

The University place priority on the audit by designating authority and control to the Comptroller and/or Vice President of Finance who will coordinate and instruct other department heads on audit requirements and resolution of related issues.

Response:

The Assistant Vice President for Finance has been assigned this function. In performing this responsibility, the Assistant Vice President would work closely with the University's Director of Internal Audits to ensure compliance.

Auditors' Recommendation (c):

The University should analyze the balance sheet accounts monthly and continue to identify and timely resolve system problems and issues in order to achieve a truly automated and reliable accounting system.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding - GAS 2007-1 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (continued)

Response:

The Office of the University Controller performs monthly reconciliations of balance sheet accounts and makes appropriate corrections and adjustments. In addition, departments involved in inputting balance sheet data into the University system, meet twice a month to evaluate and resolve system problems, in conjunction with the University's Information Technology Department and Office of Internal Audits.

Auditors' Recommendation (d):

The University should review file maintenance procedures and implement additional procedures to safeguard student files and records to prevent future noncompliance concerns.

Response:

A more comprehensive filing system has been implemented in the Office of the Registrar and the staff is working closely with Director of Internal Audits to ensure systematic implementation and utilization of the improved procedures.

Auditors' Recommendation (e):

The University should consider engaging dedicated staff whose responsibility would include timely identification of errors, preparing monthly reconciliations, and documenting the cause of reconciling entries to continue to strengthen and improve controls over financial reporting.

Response:

The University has engaged appropriately qualified staff and assigned them specific responsibilities to ensure that these functions are performed accurately and in a timely manner.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June $30,\,2007$ (Continued)

Finding - GAS 2007-1 (continued)

Federal Resolution:

October 6, 2008

The Department of Health and Human Services believe that management responses included in the audit report, and updated management response dated September 12, 2008, satisfy the recommendations in the audit report.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-1

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program-Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778 State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process

Condition:

We reviewed Supervisory Review (SRS) cases from the Local Health Department (LHD) for all twenty-four Maryland jurisdictions to determine whether SRS review (MCHIP Quality Review) forms were timely submitted to the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) and onsite reviews were conducted to ensure the LHD's are meeting quotas according to SRS standards, case file accuracy, and case processing time limits. We noted that Howard County LHD did not submit MCHIP Quality Review forms from June 2006 through May 2007. We also noted DHMH failed to follow its procedures outlined in its January 25, 2006 Memorandum which provides that failure to submit the required SRS review forms in a timely manner would be reported to the Office of Eligibility Services.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

We agree that the Department did not inform the Office of the Eligibility Services (OES) that Howard County was not in compliance with the Memorandum, dated January 25, 2006.

On November 28, 2007, a letter was sent to all local health departments reinstating the Supervisor Review System report deadlines. It also outlined corrective action plans if a local health department failed to meet the deadline or fails to submit the report. In addition, Office of Inspector General/Program Integrity (OIG/PI) will ensure that OES is notified when a Local Health Department is in-compliance with the Memorandum.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-1 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (continued)

The corrective action measures have been implemented and are working effectively. The local health departments have been very responsive to these enforced requirements.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding of this nature in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007- 2

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

US Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process.

Condition:

On July 1, 1985 the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are indigent or medically indigent according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH. In addition, DHMH's Local Health Departments are responsible for determining eligibility for the Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program (MCHIP) covered under Title XIV of the Social Security Act.

We selected a total of 65 Medical Assistance claim files to review for eligibility determination. These 65 files were comprised of 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Charles County, Howard County, Cecil County, and Frederick County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients. All claims were processed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. We noted the following exceptions:

Baltimore City

DHR's Department of Social Services failed to maintain the original signed application. We noted the application date was changed from 10/27/07 to 10/27/06 for one of the eight newly eligible files. The change on the application appeared to have been made in order to support the initial eligibility decision date of 11/09/06. However, the information contained in the application was inconsistent with the date of 10/27/06.

Howard County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the eight newly eligible files was not located.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007- 2 (continued)

Condition: (continued)

Frederick County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the five existing eligible files could not be located.

Cecil County

No signed application, in that the signature page of the application for one of the eight newly eligible files was missing.

This is, in part, a repeat finding from Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Single Audit Report finding number 2004-24, 2005-5, and 2006-14 respectively.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

The Department agrees with the recommendation. The Department followed up with the Department of Human resources Local Department of Social Services offices in order to obtain the details of the cases cited above:

- > The Cecil County case was closed for failure to complete the interview process, which would explain why a signed application was missing.
- The file for Frederick County case was found.
- The file for Howard County case could not be located. The Medical Care program Administration (MCPA) will send a letter requesting an investigation to the Family Investment Administration (FIA) at DHR referencing these issues and requesting that the documentation be obtained or that the case be closed.
- ➤ In addition, Baltimore City did not maintain the original signed application for the application filed on October 27, 2006. In that case, a new application was requested and received to support the eligibility decision made on November 9, 2006.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-2 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (continued)

The Medical Care Program Administration (MCPA) will ensure that DHR's LDSS maintain documentation to support the agency's eligibility determination by conducting ongoing trainings and by working with DHR and the LDSS to perform Supervisory Review System (SRS) and internal audits by the bureau of Continuous Improvement.

Auditors' Comment:

No similar finding was repeated in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-3

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

US Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process

Condition:

The Local Health Departments (LHD) are responsible for determining eligibility under the Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are apply for the expanded State Children's Insurance Program under Title XXI of the Social Security Act.

We selected a total of 65 SCHIP claims to review files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Frederick County, Howard County, Cecil County and Charles County. The test was composed of 8 newly established recipients and five existing recipients. All claims were processed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. We noted the following exceptions:

Baltimore City

Did not comply with the requirement to verify and maintain proof of citizenship in one of the five existing files tested.

Frederick County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the five existing files could not be located

Howard County

Internal control deficiency in that two of the eight newly eligible files could not be located.

This is, in part, a repeat finding from fiscal year 2006, Finding 2006-18.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-3 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

The Department agrees with the recommendation. The Department followed up with the LHDs in order to obtain the details of the four cases cited.

- > The Frederick County and Howard County case was found and the files were reviewed by the auditor.
- The other Howard County case was never in the LHD office, as the application was made to the Howard County LDSS. That case is still active at that LDSS, but the file could not be located.
- ➤ Baltimore City case-We confirmed that the case manager did not acquire documentation of citizenship. The Department has received the LHD's assurance that the error will be corrected within 3 days.

Training will be conducted by DHMH to ensure that the Local Health Department adhere to the established Federal and State regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining and maintaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

The Medical Care Program Administration (MCPA) continues to monitor and emphasize that the Local Health Departments adhere to all eligibility policy procedures.

Auditors' Comment:

Eligibility findings were repeated in fiscal year 2008 as Finding 2008-1.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-4

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the Financial Reporting Process

Condition:

The State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) uses form CMS-21 (Quarterly SCHIP Statement of Expenditures for Title XXI), which is a sub-report of form CMS-64 (Quarterly Medicaid Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistant Program), to report its expenditures to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The CMS 64 reports are reviewed by supervisors. After this review is completed, the reports are forwarded to upper level management to review for completeness and accuracy. A final oversight review is performed by the Director, who also signs the report.

We selected the four quarterly CMS-21 Base Reports for fiscal year 2007 for testing. We noted there was no signature by the Director, which is indicative of final review and approval, for the quarters ended 9/30/06, 3/31/07, and 6/30/07 prior to submission to CMS.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

The Department agrees with the recommendation. Even though the CMS-21 reports were not signed, they were reviewed and approved by the Medicaid Director of Finance before Submission. Procedures have been established to ensure sign off of the CMS-21 by the Director, Office of Finance.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-5

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the sub-recipient monitoring process.

Condition:

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DHMH) Office of Operations and Eligibility and Pharmacy Beneficiary Services Administration (Office of Operations) requires that each Local Health Departments (LHD) submit annual statistical reports by August 31st of each year. This requirement is contained in the Office of Operations' instruction manual entitled Instructions for Preparing Narrative and Budget Maryland Children's Health Program Eligibility Determination (Instructions). These Instructions specifically state, "if a local department does not comply with the Annual Report requirement DHMH could deny the next years funds as stated in the budget instructions and a condition of receiving a fiscal award."

Of the twenty-four Local Health Departments reviewed, we noted Charles and Cecil Counties LHDs did not submit their annual statistical reports by August 31st. Despite this non-compliance, DHMH awarded SCHIP funds to these two counties.

This is a repeat finding from Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 Finding 2006 -17.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

DHMH agrees with recommendation. We are now in receipt of the reports from two local health departments. The primary causes for the delays in submission of the reports are ongoing staffing shortages and the untimely illness of Local Health Department Staff.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-5 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (continued)

A corrective Action Plan has been discussed with the Maryland Children's Health Program (MCHP) Supervisors within the State of Maryland. The Department will amend procedures in the future to state that Local Health Department must be in receipt of an approved extension if the report is not received by August 31st. The Annual Report will be added as a standard agenda item at the statewide MCHP quarterly meeting. The Department will discuss projected timetable and any issues that may lead to a delay in a timely issuance of the specified timetable for submission as stated in the current budget instructions.

In addition, the Department will continue to enforce our current/previous year's standard of notifying the Local Health Department throughout the year via email and offering assistance, getting updates on the status of the Annual Report and the projected date of submission.

The Medical Care Program Administration (MCPA) received all reports timely in FY 2008.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-6

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control deficiency over Procurement and Suspension and Debarment.

Condition:

We reviewed 25 contracts between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and contractors/subrecipients. The purpose of our review was to determine whether suspension and debarment certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and other procurement requirements specific to an award. We noted that 4 out of the 25 contracts did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

This is in part, a repeat finding from Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2006 Single Audit Report Finding numbers 2005-7 and 2006-15 respectively.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

The Department agrees with the recommendation. The Office of Procurement and Support Services (OPASS) will adhere to the provisions of OMB Circulars A-102 and A-133 by obtaining and maintaining evidence of suspension and debarment certifications for all covered contracts and subawards. The Office of Procurement and Support Services (OPASS) feels that two of the cases were a one time only audit exception. The other two contracts cited were for one-day conferences. In the future, OPASS will obtain debarment information on all Memorandums of Understanding (MOU's) regardless of services provided.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-7

Department:

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Program:

Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

CFDA Number:

93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control deficiency over the Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control System (MEQC).

Condition:

The MEQC failed to submit the required reports on negative case reviews, error rate analysis, and corrective action plan for fiscal year 2007.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

No change from our previous response. The Department agrees that the reports should be filed timely, but disagrees that multiple reports should be filed. Per the Center For Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control (MEQC) staff, DHMH need only submit one summary report for each fiscal year, which is due in August. DHMH has permission from CMS to run a pilot program that conducts focused reviews and special studies, rather than a traditional MEQC program. In the report to CMS, DHMH need only provide a summary of its MEQC pilot activities and its negative case action review.

DHMH was late with this report due to internal reorganizations and leadership changes. The Office of Eligibility Services completed the report and sent it to CMS on February 22, 2008, thus fulfilling its reporting obligation and will submit future reports timely.

Auditors' Comment:

Finding repeated in fiscal year 2008 as Finding 2008-2.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 8

Baltimore City Community College

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant

CFDA No. 84.063 Federal Pell Grant Program

CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program

CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loan Program

CFDA No. 84.375 Academic Competiveness Grant

U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Baltimore City Community College of Maryland lacks effective internal controls over reporting of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Baltimore City Community College (BCCC) general ledger and other supporting records as follows:

- 1. Total tuition and fees for undergraduates did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award years did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 4. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007–8 (continued)

Condition: (continued)

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were inconsistent with BCCC's general ledger as of June 30 2007. BCCC was able to provide reconciliation for the differences, however during the reconciliation we observed that the FISAP overlapped two award years and did not agree with the audited financial statements.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Reconciliation of tuition & fees, Federal Pell Grant expenditures, and total expended state grants and scholarships was provided to the auditors during the most recent FY 2008 audit period. No further actions are required. The reconciliation has been accepted by the auditors.

Federal Resolution:

September 5, 2008

The finding has been satisfactory addressed as described in the corrective action plan; therefore, no further action is required.

Auditors' Comment:

The corrective action satisfied the auditors' recommendation. The finding is resolved.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 9

St. Mary's College of Maryland

Student Financial Assistance Cluster CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loans (FPL) U.S. Department of Education (DED)

St. Mary's College lacks effective internal controls over FISAP reconciliation

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and St. Mary's general ledger or other supporting records for the FPL as follows:

- 1. Total tuition and fees for undergraduates did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award year did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 4. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were not reviewed and compared with the audited financial statements as per St Mary's general ledger. The FISAP needs to be revised to be in agreement with the official balances reported in the final audited statements for the year ending June 30, 2007. This action would be necessary to ensure that the FISAP was accurately reported and in agreement with the St. Mary's audited financial statements.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-9 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

The College's response to each of the four inconsistencies follows:

1. Total tuition and fees on the FISAP and the financial statements did not agree.

Response:

Tuition and fees are reported at gross values on the FISAP but net of discounts on the financial statements.

2. Total Pell on the FISAP and the financial statements did not agree.

Response:

Pell grants were correctly reported on the FISAP by semester. The financials correctly reported expenditures by calendar date, but there is a timing difference for one payment in the amount of \$3,100.

3. Total State Grants and Scholarships on the FISAP and the financial statements did not agree.

Response:

State grants and scholarships are not listed in the financial statements specifically but reconcile back to the underlying data. Expenditures were correctly reported on the FISAP.

4. Funds advanced to students for Perkins Loans on the FISAP and the financial statements did not agree.

Response:

Funds advanced for Perkins Loans did vary by \$900 due to a data entry error in preparing the FISAP, this has been corrected in the filing for the current fiscal year.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-9 (continued)

Auditors' Comment:

The corrective action satisfied the auditors' recommendation. The finding is resolved.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 -10

Morgan State University

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant

CFDA No. 84.063 Federal Pell Grant Program

CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program

CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loan Program

CFDA No. 84.375 Academic Competiveness Grant

U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Morgan State University of Maryland lacks effective internal controls over reporting of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Morgan State University (Morgan) general ledger and other supporting records as follows:

- 1. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award years did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 10 (continued)

Condition: (continued)

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were inconsistent with Morgan's general ledger as of June 30 2007. Morgan was able to provide reconciliation for the differences, however during the reconciliation we observed that the FISAP overlapped two award years and did not agree with the audited financial statements.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Auditor's recommendation: Morgan implements stronger control procedures over its reporting of FISAP data.

Response:

This finding was resolved and the auditors' concern was satisfied. Specifically, the data from each contributing department is validated to ensure accuracy and conformity to the data included in the financial statements.

Auditors' Comment:

The corrective action satisfied the auditors' recommendation. The finding is resolved.

STATE OF MARYLAND Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2008

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-11

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR)

Federal Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.258 - WIA Adult Program

CFDA No. 17.259 - WIA Youth Activities

CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

Our test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) files disclosed internal control weaknesses in case file documentation. Several files we examined were incomplete or did not document employment verification follow-up or case closure.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (November, 2008 Update)

DLLR continues to provide ongoing technical assistance to LWIB's and staff in regard to the DOL administrative requirements, proper file maintenance and case management. Also, DLLR has assigned additional program monitoring duties to another staff in order to increase our monitoring capacity and to add additional depth to this process. Therefore, our program monitoring staff has changed from three to four monitors. In addition, the program monitors have performed random checks of participant files at the local areas. This process will continue on an ongoing basis.

Federal Resolution:

January 14, 2009

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration (ETA) staff noted that DLLR needs to describe the different methodologies it will use for sampling participant files during monitoring; explain how the different methodologies to sample participant files will address conditions found by the auditors; and whether they are consistent with corrective actions implemented as a result of finding 2006-9. DLLR needs to submit its schedule for the monitoring cycle that began April, 2008, and the various monitoring tools used to test the different methodologies for sampling participant files. Additionally, DLLR needs to describe how it addressed the conditions found by the auditors during the monitoring cycle.

Determination: Based on the above, the finding is uncorrected.

Auditors' Comment:

The finding was repeated in fiscal year 2008 as Finding 2008-10.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-12

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter- and Intra-State cases

Condition:

When the Department of Human Resources local offices receive an application for Child Support Services, the local agency must open the case within no more than twenty (20) days of the receipt of the referral or of the filing of an application for services. During our review, we noted that in six (6) of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 46.15% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not opened in twenty (20) days of receipt of the referral or application.

When the Child Support Administration division is receives an interstate case, Maryland as the initiating state, has twenty (20) days to refer the case to the responding state's central registry after determining that the non-custodial parent is located in another state, and if necessary, receipt of any necessary information to process the case. During our review, we noted that in seven (7) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 53.85% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not referred to the responding state's central registry within twenty (20) days after determining that the non-custodial parent was located in another state and after receipt of all information necessary to process the case.

When the Child Support Administration division receives a request for more information from a responding state, Maryland as the initiating state has thirty (30) calendar days to either provide the responding state with the requested information or notify the responding state when the information will be provided. In three (3) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases reviewed, or 23.08% of the cases, the requested information was not provided to the responding state nor was the date that the requested information would be provided documented in the case file.

When the Child Support Administration division receives an interstate case and Maryland is responding state, within ten (10) calendar days Maryland's central registry must: acknowledge receipt of the case; ensure that all documentation received from the initiating state is complete; request any missing information necessary to process the case; forward the case to the correct local agency for location services or processing; and inform the initiating state where the case was sent for processing. In four (4) of the twelve (12) responding cases reviewed (33.33% of cases reviewed), one or more of the actions required in the ten (10) day timeframe was not completed.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007- 12 (continued)

Condition: (continued)

When Maryland's central registry receives an inquiry from a responding state pertaining to the case's status or for a review, the central registry has five (5) days to respond to the initiating state's request. In three (3) of the twelve (12) responding cases, (25.00% of cases reviewed), Maryland's response to an initiating state's request for a case's status or for a review was not noted in the case file within five (5) days of receipt of the initiating state's inquiry.

When Maryland, as the responding state, receives an interstate Child Support Transmittal form, within seventy five (75) days, Maryland must; provide location services if the initiating states request is for location services or if the initiating state did not provide sufficient information to locate the non-custodial parent; notify the initiating state if more information or corrected information is necessary to process the case; and process the case to the extent possible pending necessary action by the initiating state. In six (6) of the twelve (12) responding cases reviewed, one or more of the actions required in the seventy-five (75) day time frame was not completed.

After a Child Support order has been established, within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency, Maryland as the responding/enforcing state must take and document some enforcement action was taken and documented unless a service of process is necessary. In one (1) of twelve (12) responding cases, or 8.33% of responding cases reviewed, no enforcement action was taken and documented within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2004, Single Audit Report finding number 2004-44; Fiscal Year 2005, Single Audit Report finding number 2005-16; and Fiscal Year 2006, Single Audit Report finding number 2006-5.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

The Child Support Enforcement Administration (CSEA) provides training for new staff by way of the New Staff Academy. The *Introduction to Child Support Enforcement* course trains on the basic elements of child support and educates staff on the Federal timelines. In March 2008 the Administration began providing training for supervisors on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement, with a re-training on the Federal guidelines. This training will be conducted on an annual basis.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Federal Resolution:

December 2008

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Region III concurs with auditors' recommendation. The CSEA advised that since February 2007, corrective action plans have been further strengthened for future case processing so that errors will not occur again. The CSEA said that in March 2008, the Administration began providing training for supervisors on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement, with a re-training on the Federal guidelines. The training will be conducted on an annual basis. Corrective actions implemented by the organization were sufficient to resolve the auditors' recommendation.

Auditors' Comment:

There was a repeat finding noted for fiscal year 2008 which is reported as Finding 2008-13.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-13

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 - Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over payment of invoices.

Condition:

DHR processes invoices in batches. The required documents in a batch are a Transmittal Cover Sheet, which lists the total amount being paid in the batch; the detail pages to the transmittal, which list all invoices that have been paid in the batch in order of document number, and invoices for all payments included in the batch.

During our review of twenty-five expenditures, we noted:

- 1. One instance where the transmittal cover sheet and transmittal detail pages were not provided.
- 2. One instance where neither- the transmittal cover sheet nor the invoice were approved for payment.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2006, Single Audit Report finding number 2006-6.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

In 2007 the Department began signing both the original and copy of the transmittal cover sheet, and began stapling the copy of the cover sheet to our archive file folder to prevent loss. Also in 2007 the Department began documenting the secondary review and approval of all invoices by initialing the invoice copy retained in the archive file folders.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-13 (continued)

Federal Resolution:

December 2008

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Region III concurs with the auditors' recommendation. The State has strengthened its procedures to document the receipt and review of audit reports. It has also added a control to provide appropriate follow-up. The State's response is acceptable to resolve the auditors' finding.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding in fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 14

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over Sub-Recipient Monitoring.

Condition:

DHR enters into agreements, called Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements, with local government departments, such as sheriffs departments, State's Attorney's Offices and County Masters for these entities to conduct such tasks as to issue warrants and summonses related to establishing or enforcing Child Support; conducting hearings to establish paternity, child support or medical support obligations, and conducting hearings to enforce or modify support orders.

The Contract Services Division is responsible for monitoring the local government entities' performance on the contracts. The Contract Services Division performs its monitoring duties by issuing five monitoring reports for the year for each entity and requiring corrective action plans when actual performance falls below expected performance.

During our testing, we noted:

- 4. Monitoring reports were not issued on a quarterly basis for one of the eight cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 5. All corrective action plans were not received by DHR on a timely basis for three of the eight cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 6. For three of the cooperative reimbursement agreements reviewed, all quarterly monitoring reports were not reviewed by a supervisor as evidenced by a supervisor's initials.
- 7. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, DHR does not monitor Cooperative Reimbursement Agreement Agencies according to established procedures.
- 8. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether CSEA provided reasonable assurance that sub recipients used Federal awards for authorized purposes, complied with laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and achieved performance goals.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 – 14 (continued)

Condition: (continued)

- 9. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether CSEA maintained adequate records of any noncompliance.
- 10. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether Cooperative Reimbursement Agreement agencies were monitored according to established procedures.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

In 2008 the process to strengthen Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements (CRA) performance monitoring was implemented. The process includes comparing data reported by the entities to performance goals and requiring Corrective Action Plans from CRA's whose performance is below contract goals. Supervisory review and sign-off was also implemented. The changes in procedures implemented include a requirement that all documents related to all contracts would have an original or copy in the supervisor's office. Also, an electronic tickler system was put into place to remind the staff to follow-up on all actions required.

Auditors' Comment:

There was a repeat finding noted for fiscal year 2008 which is reported as Finding 2008-14.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 15

Maryland Department of Transportation – State Highway Administration (SHA) CFDA No. 20.205

U.S. Department of Transportation

SHA was noncompliance over Unallowable Costs to federal grants

Condition:

State Highway Administration included employees and contractors to participate in a National Highway Institute course. The State Highway Administration received reimbursements from non-state participants in the amount of \$29,400 but charged the tuition federal grant with the cost of all participants.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

We reissued guidelines on Education & Tuition Reimbursement on April 8, 2008. We are subsequently receiving the appropriate inquiries and issuing guidance to assure proper processing of tuition and direct educational expenses paid with federal funds as directed in guidelines.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding for fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007 –16

Maryland Department of Transportation – State Highway Administration (SHA) CFDA No. 20.205

U. S. Department of Transportation

SHA was noncompliant over Suspension and Debarment records maintenance

Condition:

The State Highway Administration did not have documentation supporting the efforts to check the Excluded Parties List System for debarred and suspended contractors and subcontractors for the 15 contracts reviewed.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

A procedure was established during a conference on March 21, 2008 with Office of Construction: Contractors are checked for debarment on the Excluded Parties List the day after bid openings and that date is entered into the database. Contractors that are recurring bidders are checked every 30-60 days. This procedure, which has been in place since May, 2008, was verified in November, 2008.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding for fiscal year 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-17

University System of Maryland – Bowie State University and University of Maryland, Eastern Shore

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.032 and 84.268

Untimely and Inaccurate Submission of Student Status Changes to the NSLDS.

Condition:

Bowie State University

During our testing of student status changes, we noted 15 student status changes were not timely reported to the National Student Loan Disbursement System (NSLDS) within the 30 or 60 day requirement.

University of Maryland, Eastern Shore

During our testing of student status changes, we noted 2 students that had status changes and those changes were not properly reported to NSLDS. There were also 2 students who withdrew and the date reported to NSLDS was reported outside of the 30 and 60 day requirement.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

Bowie State University - The University has submitted the required Clearinghouse reports in accordance with the transmission dates set up between the University and the Clearinghouse. In instances where the transmissions were delayed, arrangements were made between the University and the Clearinghouse to accommodate the receipt and processing of the report.

University of Maryland Eastern Shore - In March of 2008, UMES' Administrative computing staff was requested to review the technical aspects on the NSLC Reporting process with our Registrar's Office, based upon the noted findings in the audit. Corrections were made prior to the March 28, 2008 Clearinghouse submission. As of this date, the issue has been resolved to the best of our knowledge.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 (Continued)

Finding 2007-17 (continued)

Federal Resolution:

September 5, 2008

The finding for Bowie State University and The University of Maryland-Eastern Shore have been satisfactorily addressed as described in the Corrective Action Plan. Therefore, no further action is required for this finding.

Auditors' Comment:

There was no repeat finding for fiscal year 2008. The finding is resolved.

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

Finding 2006 - 1

University System of Maryland Federal Student Aid Cluster

CFDA 84.032 Federal Family Education Loans CFDA 84.268 Federal Direct Student Loans

U.S. Department of Education

The University of Maryland University College (UMUC) did not comply with Title IV requirement to return funds within the required time frame for a student that withdrew from classes. The controls in place did not allow them to properly identify all withdrawals that result in the return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

During single audit testing, we noted that one student from a selection of ten withdrew on December 19, 2005 from the fall semester. We calculated that \$1,141 should have been returned to the Title IV program based on the total aid the student received and the days the student earned. As of the date of testing, no funds were remitted to the Title IV program due to this withdrawal. Due to the results of this test, on July 27, 2006 the institution returned the unearned portion to the Title IV program. For UMUC to be in compliance with OMB Circular A-133, the funds should have been returned to the Title IV program within 30 days of the withdrawal date which was January 18, 2006.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

UMUC continues to follow the Corrective Action Plan as outlined in our response at the end of fiscal year 2007. UMUC continues to remain confident that our Office of Financial Aid is currently processing the return of Title IV funds accurately and within regulatory timeframes.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-3

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 - Child Support Enforcement

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR did not comply with draw down procedures required in accordance with proper Cash Management of Federal funds.

Condition:

All funds drawn for Child Support are to be drawn based on the Cash Management Improvement Act Agreement. Child Support uses two types of draw methods. The "Drawdowns at Fixed Intervals – Monthly (modified)" method is used for Administrative Costs and Vendor Payments. The "Dollar Weighted Clearance – Modified" method is used for Payroll costs. Both of the aforementioned draw methods have a clearance pattern of one day.

During our review of 25 draws (10 Administrative and 15 Payroll draws) we noted three instances where the draw did not clear in one day as specified by the clearance pattern used. Two instances were for Administrative draws and one instance was for a Payroll draw.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

To allow adequate time to address unforeseen delays and to ensure federal draw requests are received by the State Treasurer's Office by their deadline of 2:00 PM, in 2007 the Department began processing draw requests by 12:00 PM on the day they are due. The Department also instituted an electronic reminder the day before and the morning of the due date for draw requests. This reminder is sent to the individual responsible for processing the requests for payment and the individual's supervisor.

Auditor's Comment:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-5

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter- and Intra-State cases

Condition:

When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by the State of Maryland (Maryland), Maryland has 10 days to review the case and notify the responding State of any change or deviation in the case. When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by another State and Maryland is responding, Maryland has 10 days to review and notify the initiating State of any changes or deviations in the case. During our review, we noted that in 7 out of 13 initiating cases, or 53.85%, the responding State was not notified within the proper time frame of a change in the case.

After a non-custodial parent has been located the Child Support Enforcement division has 90 days to obtain a court order to begin the process of securing a support order, which may or may not include establishing paternity. During our review, we noted that in 1 out of 13 initiating cases, or in 7.69%, that a court order was not obtained to establish paternity within 90 days of locating the non-custodial parent.

When the Child Support Enforcement division is processing an interstate case and Maryland is the initiating state, the division has 20 days to refer the case to the responding state's central registry after determining that the non-custodial parent is located in another state. During our review we noted that in 1 out of 13 initiating cases, or 7.69%, that the case was not referred to the responding state's central registry within 20 days.

This is a repeat finding from FY 2004 and 2005.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

The Child Support Enforcement Administration (CSEA) provides training for new staff by way of the New Staff Academy. The *Introduction to Child Support Enforcement* course, trains on the basic elements of child support and educates staff on the Federal timelines. In March 2008 the Administration began providing training for supervisors on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement, with at re-training on the Federal guidelines. This training will be conducted on an annual basis.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-5 (continued)

Federal Resolution:

December 2008

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Region III concurs with auditors' recommendation. The CSEA advised that since February 2007, corrective action plans have been further strengthened for future case processing so that errors will not occur again. The CSEA said that in March 2008, the Administration began providing training for supervisors on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement, with a re-training on the Federal guidelines. The training will be conducted on an annual basis. Corrective actions implemented by the organization were sufficient to resolve the auditors' recommendation.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-6

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over payment of invoices.

Condition:

DHR processes invoices in batches. The required documents in a batch are a Transmittal Cover Sheet which lists the total amount being paid in the batch; the detail pages to the transmittal which list all invoices that have been paid in the batch in order of document number, and invoices for all payments included in the batch.

Rent is paid in advance of receiving an invoice. DHR receives "Rent Registration" sheets which list all rents due for the month from the Department of General Services and the invoices are received later. Since the invoices are received after payment has been made, they are filed separately from the batches.

During our review of 25 expenditures, we noted one instance where an invoice for rent was not present.

The approval of an invoice for payment is a multi-step process which involves review by a staff level Accounts Payable employee, the Accounts Payable Supervisor, and the Accounting Manager. All invoices are to be signed individually by an Accounts Payable employee to evidence that they are approved for payment.

During our review of 25 expenditures, we noted three instances where the invoice/supporting documentation did not have the signature of a supervisor to verify that it was reviewed and approved.

The Department does not concur that proper internal controls were not established over the processing of invoice transactions.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-6 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

In 2007, the Department began documenting the secondary review and approval of all invoices by initialing the invoice copy retained in the archive file folders. As for rent payments, in September 2008 the Department began processing rent payments directly from vendor submitted invoices instead of utilization a rent register for recurring rent payments. Authorization to pay is obtained from the program manager and the secondary review and approval of all invoices is documented by initialing the invoice copy retained in the archive file folders.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-8

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 10.561 – Food Stamps Program

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Food Stamps Program had internal control weaknesses over the Financial Reporting Process. Some required reports were filed late.

Condition:

The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) 46 Issuance Reconciliation Report is a reconciliation report of food stamp benefits actually issued with the State's Master Issuance File. Generally, one FNS-46 covers the entire State if it operates only one issuance (EBT). The Master Issuance contains records on all households eligible to receive benefits. Actual issuances may be recorded in the Record for Issuance (RFI) or an alternative filing system. The RFI is created from the Master Issuance File and discloses the amount of benefits the household is eligible to receive and the actual amount issued. The FNS-46 report is prepared on a monthly basis and is required to be submitted ninety (90) days after the month's end to the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

We reviewed 4 monthly FNS-46 Reports for testing and noted that 4 monthly reports were submitted more than ninety days after the month's end to the U.S. Department of Agriculture. This is a repeat finding.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(December, 2008 Update)

In January 2007 the Department developed a schedule for the FNS-46 report that anticipates the date of completion and transfer to the Food and Nutrition Service approximately 60 days after the month end. The anticipated transfer date is well with the 90-day timeframe required by FNS. To date the Department has adhered to this schedule.

Auditor's Comment:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-9

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR) U.S. Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program CFDA No. 17.259 – WIA Youth Activities CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

Our test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) files disclosed internal control weaknesses in case file documentation. Eleven files we examined were incomplete or did not document employment verification follow-up or case closure.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

DLLR continues to provide ongoing technical assistance to LWIB's and staff in regard to the DOL administrative requirements, proper file maintenance and case management. Also, DLLR has assigned additional program monitoring duties to another staff in order to increase our monitoring capacity and to add additional depth to this process. Therefore, our program monitoring staff has changed from three to four monitors. In addition, the program monitors have performed random checks of participant files at the local areas. This process will continue on an ongoing basis.

Federal Resolution:

January 26, 2009

The finding is uncorrected.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-10

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulations (DLLR) U.S. Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.207 Employment Services U.S. Department of Labor

Internal Control weakness exists in reporting the earmarking requirements.

Condition:

DLLR Division of Workforce Development did not accurately report the ten percent earmark for Employment Services due to an error in typing the data in the remarks section of the SESA Financial Status Report -Std. Form 269 (SF 269). The amount reported was overstated by \$9,601,696, and the error was not caught and corrected by DLLR.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department has reported the correct amount of earmarking for FY 2007 and FY 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-11

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Maternal and Child Health Program

CFDA No. 93.994

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Subrecipient Monitoring.

Condition:

We reviewed twenty-five (25) files that contain documents related to the award of Federal funds by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) to local health departments (LHDs) and private vendors ("subrecipients") to obtain reasonable assurance that site visits to evaluate financial and programmatic records were conducted in accordance with the General Requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the conditions of grant award imposed by DHMH. Although DHMH asserts that site visits were conducted for all local health departments in fiscal year 2006, we found that three (3) out of the 25 files reviewed contained no evidence of such visits or other means of assuring that the local health departments are complying with laws, regulations and provisions of their agreements with DHMH.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The Department concurs with the finding but does not concur with the recommendation. The Department points out that one of the criteria cited does not cover the federal grant award under review. The criteria states, in part, "In addition, DHMH through its Human Services Agreements-Conditions of Grant Awards document imposes a general condition...which states jurisdictions family planning programs must comply with the Family Planning Clinical and Administrative Site Review Process, including self reviews and on-site state reviews." For the record, it should be noted that the audit was conducted on the Maternal and Child Health Title V federal grant award, not on the Family Planning Title X federal grant award.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-11 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan: (Continued)

Because the three grants referred to in the audit exception were not family planning grants, they were not subject to "site visits" as required in the Conditions of Award referenced in the finding. These grants are subject to a condition requiring participation in conferences with grant monitors to review mid-year progress and identify areas for "technical assistance and consultation" (Condition 5 in the Center for Maternal and Child Health section of the Conditions of Award). These conferences may or may not be conducted on-site. These three grants were also subject to conditions requiring mid-year and end-of-year progress reports in addition to the year-end budget reconciliation submitted on the DHMH 440 (Condition 3 and 4 in the Condition of Awards).

While grant files for the three grants (Anne Arundel Co. FH 77IPO (Improved Pregnancy Outcome), Baltimore City FH 181MCH (Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention), and Prince George's Co. FH 362HRI (High Risk Infant)) did not contain documentation of site visit reports or other documentation of grant monitoring activities as required by OMB Circular A-133 General Requirement, Part 3, subpart M, this was a failure to retain documentation rather than a lack of monitoring. The program agrees that documentation should be consistently maintained for all monitoring activities, which are carried out consistent with the grant requirements, OMB Circular A-133 General Requirement, Part 3, subpart M, and the DHMH Human Services Agreements Conditions of Award.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-12

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster

CFDA No. 93.778 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHMH has not complied with the State Agency standards of receiving a risk assessment report once every two years.

Condition:

DHMH has established and maintains a program for conducting periodic risk analysis to ensure appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into the MMIS-II application. As part of the analysis, DHMH received a review of the ADP system security supporting the application. The contractor was responsible for preparing the most recent MMIS-II Risk Assessment Report. The report was issued on September 8, 2003 covering the period January 8, 2003 through August 15, 2003. This is a repeat finding.

The risk analysis identified sixty-seven (67) vulnerabilities covering twenty (20) vulnerability areas. The fifty (50) most severe vulnerabilities were noted in the report. The contractor recommended that these vulnerabilities be corrected to avoid losses to the MMIS-II assets and resources. CG also recommended twenty –two (22) safeguards which, if implemented, would substantially reduce the losses to DHMH if these threats occurred or would prevent the threats from occurring all together.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Medical Care Programs Administration has compiled with the State Agency Standard of receiving a Risk Assessment. The Risk Assessment was completed on April 4, 2007.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-13

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster) CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene received and credited Federal funds earlier than the required clearance pattern of 8 days from the date of disbursement.

Condition:

We noted that DHMH did not comply with the Clearance Pattern for Provider payments (Non-Voucher only, not processed through the Maryland Medicaid Information System (MMIS). Every Tuesday, the Division of General Accounting prepares a Letter of Credit to request Federal funds for expenditures paid during the Monday through Friday of the previous week based on a Memorandum submitted by the Office of Planning and Finance Budget, Accounting and Revenue Division. We noted on Letter of Credit for Week 48, dated May 30, 2006, which represented expenditures made the week of May 22 through May 26, 2006, that Provider payments (Non-Voucher only, not processed through MMIS), DHMH requested Federal funds that were deposited on June 6, 2006 which represents the seventh (7th) day after expenditures. The Division of General Accounting uses the date of the memorandum as the expenditure date and starts counting for clearance pattern purposes, the next day. The clearance pattern for reimbursements not processed in MMIS is eight (8) days. Therefore, DHMH was not entitled to receive funds until June 7, 2006.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The prior period adjustment of \$17.00 was included in the FY 2007 CMIA report due in October 2007.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-14

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medicaid Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness over the eligibility determination process for medical assistance.

Condition:

On July 1, 1985 the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are indigent or medically indigent according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH.

The DHR Local Department of Social Service (LDSS) offices did not obtain the necessary documentation and perform the necessary verifications of income and resources to support eligibility determinations for medical assistance benefit payments.

We selected a total of 65 Medical Assistance claims to review the files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Prince Georges County and Anne Arundel County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department has implemented the corrective action plan described in the Department's original corrective action plan. DHR has confirmed that the case errors have been corrected. The DHR and DHMH are complying with the established Federal regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-14 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan (continued)

Furthermore, on an ongoing basis, DHMH personnel will discuss persistent case errors at the joint DHMH/DHR quarterly meeting for local office supervisors.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-15

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Procurement Requirements and Suspension and Debarment Certifications Missing.

Condition:

We reviewed 25 contract files between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the contractor/subrecipient to ensure that the suspended and debarred certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and other procurement requirements specific to an award. We noted that 13 out of the 25 contracts made to contractors/subrecipients did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

We also noted that 23 of the 25 contracts reviewed did not contain evidence of a Contract Fulfillment Team as specified in DHMH's Procurement Policy.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The Office of Procurement and Support Services (OPASS) updated the internal checklist in April, 2007. The staff will indicate if a Contract Fulfillment Team (CFT) meeting was held. Not all contracts need a CFT. The debarment form was also developed and staff has been instructed to follow-up on the debarment sites provided. The staff will keep a copy of the form in the procurement folder. OPASS strives to adhere to the recommendations of the auditors.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-16

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Maternal and Child Health Program

CFDA No. 93.994

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Procurement, Suspension and Debarment.

Condition:

We reviewed twenty-five (25) files that contain documents related to the award of Federal funds by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) to Local Health Departments (LHDs) and private vendors ("subrecipients") to determine whether suspension and debarment certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and A-133 and other procurement requirements. We noted that four (4) out of the 25 awards made to subrecipients did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Office of Procurement and Support Services (OPASS) updated the internal checklist in April 2007. The staff will indicate if a Contract Fulfillment Team (CFT) meeting was held. Not all contracts need a CFT. The debarment form was also developed and staff have been instructed to follow-up on the debarment sites provided. The staff will keep a copy of the form in the procurement folder. OPASS strives to adhere to the recommendations of the auditors.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-17

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)

CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness related to sub-recipient monitoring. DHMH did not adhere to the policy requiring each Local Health Departments to submit annual reports timely in order to qualify for SCHIP funding.

Condition:

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DHMH) Office of Operations and Eligibility and Pharmacy Beneficiary Services Administration (Office of Operations) requires that each Local Health Departments (LHD) submit annual statistical reports by August 31st of each year. This requirement is contained in the Office of Operations Instructions for Preparing Narrative and Budget Maryland Children's Health Program Eligibility Determinations (Instructions) document. We noted that DHMH failed to recognize that three of the twelve LHD's reviewed did not comply with this requirement.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(September, 2008 Update)

The Medical Care Programs Administration received all reports timely this year.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-18

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)
State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)

CFDA No. 93.767

US Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness over the eligibility determination.

Condition:

The Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for SCHIP on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance coverage according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH.

The DHR Local Health Departments (LHD) offices did not obtain the necessary documentation and perform the necessary verifications of income and resources to support eligibility determinations for SCHIP.

We selected a total of 65 SCHIP claims to review the files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Prince Georges County and Anne Arundel County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Medical Care Program Administration's previous comments and corrective action plan remains unchanged. MCPA continues to monitor and emphasize that the Local Health Departments adhere to all eligibility policy procedures.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-19

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and
Technical Assistance

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program earmarking requirement.

Condition:

We noted that the calculations performed by individuals with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) related to the earmarking requirements for the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program (NBCCEDP) were based on estimated expenditures for screening, non-screening and administration.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The DHMH concurs with the recommendation, and to address the earmarking requirement, DHMH implemented the use of time studies to track actual expenditures at the local level at the beginning of state fiscal year 2007 (July 1, 2006). Local Breast and Cervical Cancer Program (BCCP) staff was trained on how to complete time studies in May 2006. The time studies are sent to DHMH quarterly. Quarterly reports for the period ending September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2006 were used to verify that actual expenditures charged to the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program cooperative agreement are in compliance with the earmarking requirements under CDC Program Announcement 02060. Based on the first and second quarter time studies from Fiscal Year 2007, the BCCP is in compliance with the earmarking requirements under CDC Program Announcement 02060. Monitoring of the earmarking requirements occurs on a quarterly basis.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-20

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the Financial Status Reporting process.

Condition:

DHMH is required to submit an annual Financial Status Report (FSR) ninety days after the end of the budget period for the CDC Grant, Bioterrorism Program. The FSR was due November 30, 2006, however, the report was not filed timely and has not been filed through end of fieldwork. We also noted that DHMH's documentation was not in agreement with expenditures reported on the FSR and a reconciliation needs to take place.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

DHMH has modified existing controls related to the supervisory review to ensure that the proper supervisor review is completed and to ensure the data submitted is accurate and complete.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-21

Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) CFDA 14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

The Department of Housing and Community Development did not have adequate documentation to support purging two individuals from the wait list.

Condition:

During single audit testing SBC noted that two individuals purged from the wait list had no supporting documentation that they had returned mail or that notices were sent to determine why a pre-application had not been submitted to DHCD upon request.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

This DHCD has developed a wait list documentation retention policy, which is incorporated in its Housing Choice Voucher Program Administrative Plan in Chapter 4, Section K, entitled "REMOVAL FROM WAITING LIST AND PURGING." The remediation plan requires each Local Housing Agency (LHA) to purge its waiting list every twelve to eighteen months, but not more than once each year. Correspondence is sent to all applicants to ensure the information on the waiting list is accurate and complete. A copy of all correspondence is retained in the applicants' files.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-22

Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) CFDA 93.569 Community Services Block Grant

U.S. Department of Heath and Human Services

DHCD did not perform full subrecipient monitoring for all subrecipients receiving funds under the Community Services Block Grant.

Condition:

During single audit testing SBC noted that one subrecipient selected for testing did not have a full subrecipient monitoring report in the file that was performed within a three year period as required.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(November, 2008 Update)

The DHCD has developed and implemented a very effective plan to ensure that all seventeen sub-grantees are monitored within the three year period. The plan includes a scheduled monitoring review of six sub-grantees each year. Since the implementation of the plan, the agency has not missed a scheduled review; therefore all reviews scheduled for this year will be completed by December 31, 2008.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-23

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) CFDA No. 84.287 – 21ST Century Community Learning Centers

U.S. Department of Education

MSDE has internal controls weaknesses over verifying the eligibility of Local Education Agencies (LEA)

Condition:

Determining the eligibility of an LEA involves the approval of the LEA's proposed budget by an LEA's Superintendent or Designee, an LEA's Finance Officer and an MSDE Program Officer. During our review of 25 LEAs, we noted 4 instances, or 16% of the LEAs, where the approval of the LEA's Superintendent or Designee was missing from the proposed budget.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Since the above finding, MSDE has met with all grantees to remind them of dual signatures needed on all C-1-25 forms. Any forms received without dual signatures, have been returned to grantees for proper signatures. Forms submitted with signatures but lacking signatures of proper party have been returned.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-24

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.063 – Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

CFDA No. 84.268 - Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

CFDA No. 84.038 – Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)

CFDA No. 84.007 - Federal Supplemental Educations Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

U.S. Department of Education

Morgan State University lacks adequate documentation for return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

Morgan State University's (Morgan) methodology used to satisfy the requirement of returning unearned Title IV funds to the Department of Education (ED) when students withdraw from the institution, is not properly documented to provide required audit trails.

We reviewed the refund calculation for 25 students and found that although, the Title IV funds were not physically returned, they were netted using a methodology of reducing Morgan's request/drawdown by 10% to offset the physical return of Title IV funds.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Auditor's recommendation:

Morgan must strengthen its documentation to support its methodology when requesting drawdown of Title IV funds.

Response:

The University has incorporated the auditors' recommendation into its operating procedures. These procedures provide for a clear and definitive audit trail that effectively shows that Title IV refunds are properly processed in accordance with federal requirements. There was no repeat finding for fiscal year 2007.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-25

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

U.S. Department of Education

Morgan State University did not perform reconciliations for loan disbursements for the Direct Loan program.

Condition:

Morgan does not perform monthly reconciliations of the Direct Loan Student Account Statement to the institution's financial records. We noted that the Financial Aid department is not performing monthly reconciliations as required by the ED with the School Account Statement (SAS). Each month Morgan receives from the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) a Student Account Data File consisting of a Cash Summary, Cash Detail and Loan Detail Records. Morgan must report all loan disbursements to the Direct Loan Servicing Center via COD within 30 days of the disbursement. This is a repeat finding.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Auditors' recommendation:

Morgan performs monthly reconciliations of the School Account Statement (SAS) files and maintains appropriate documentation to verify that the reconciliations are being performed as required.

Response:

The University implemented procedures, effective April 30, 2007, that provide for the monthly reconciliations, as recommended by the auditors. The Financial Aid Office has worked closely with the University's Information Technology Department to organize the process. In addition, the University's Internal Auditor performed a follow-up review before June 30, 2007 to ensure that this finding has been adequately addressed. There was no repeat finding for fiscal year 2007.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-26

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid cluster
CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)
CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program (FWS)
CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)
U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Morgan State University lacks effective internal controls over reconciliation of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2006 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Morgan's general ledger or other supporting records for the FPL, FSEOG and FWS as follows:

- 1. Cash on hand and in depository as of June 30, 2006 did not agree to the accounting records for the Perkins Loan.
- 2. Tuition and Fees for undergraduates and graduate/professionals did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2006.
- 3. Direct Loans advanced to Students did not agree to supporting documents.

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were preliminary numbers obtained prior to completion of the financial statement audit. However, the FISAP was not revised for the final audited statement amounts upon completion of the audit. This action would be necessary to ensure that the FISAP was accurately reported and in agreement with the audited financial statements.

Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 (Continued)

Finding 2006-26 (Continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2009 Update)

Auditors' recommendation:

Morgan should implement stronger control procedures over its reporting of FISAP data to DED.

Response:

The University implemented procedures effective with the fiscal year 2007 audit cycle that provide for periodic reconciliation of data between its audited financial statements and FISAP.

Auditors' Comment:

The corrective action satisfied the auditors' recommendation. The finding is resolved.

STATE OF MARYLAND

Management Letter

Year Ended June 30, 2008



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamili Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangie Baitimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

We have audited the financial statements of the State of Maryland (the State) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2008. In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the State, we considered internal controls in order to determine our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements. An audit does not include examining the effectiveness of internal controls and does not provide assurance on internal controls.

However, during our audit, we noted operational matters that are presented for your consideration. This letter does not have a material impact on our report dated December 12, 2008 or the financial statements of the State. We will review the status of these recommendations during our next audit engagement. Our recommendations, all of which have been discussed with appropriate members of management, are intended to improve the internal controls or result in other operating efficiencies. We will be pleased to discuss these recommendations in further detail at your convenience, perform any additional study of these matters, or assist you in implementing the recommendations. Our recommendations are summarized on the next pages.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State of Maryland and its Component Units, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State of Maryland and its Component Units, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 12, 2008

1. Maryland Department of Veterans' Affairs - Schedule G Reconciliation

CFDA No. 64.015 – Veterans State Nursing Home Care CFDA No. 64.014 - Veterans State Domiciliary Care

In reconciling the Schedule G, we noted that the Schedule G did not report grants by separate CFDA numbers. CFDAs 64.005 – State Home Construction Grant and 64.203 – State Cemetery Grants were reported under CFDA 64.005 – State Home Construction Grant; and CFDAs 64.014 – Veterans State Domiciliary Care and 64.015 Veterans State Nursing Home Care were reported under CFDA 64.014 – Veterans Per Diem Allowance. An amended Schedule G was submitted to the State of Maryland General Accounting Division after the Schedule G Reconciliation was performed.

During the Schedule G reconciliation process, we verified the cash received, expenditures, and receivables on the Schedule G by tracing them to R*Stars and other supporting documentation. In verifying the cash received and expenditures, the supporting documentation provided did not agree with the amounts reported in R*Stars.

We also noted that there was no external supporting documentation for the accrued receivable of \$369,188 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008.

Recommendation

We recommend that supporting documentation be maintained for all cash receipts, disbursements and accruals. We also recommend that reconciliations be performed and maintained between supporting documentation, R*Stars and the Schedule G.

Management Response

Concur with the requirement for monthly reconciliations and the maintenance of supporting documents. The fiscal unit is working towards a goal of achieving monthly reconciliations and producing an error free product for year end schedule G submission.

Concur with the auditor's findings that the Schedule G was submitted in error and upon recognition of the CFDA reporting error the schedule G was revised except for the \$369,188 item referred to above.

There is documentation (State Home Report and Statement of Federal Aid Claimed VA Form 10-5588) to support the accrued receivable of \$369,188. However, Maryland Department of Veterans Affairs only partially accrued the month of June expenses and federal receivable of \$617,082. In the future we will accrue June's expenses and receivables in full.

2. Maryland State Department of Education – Schedule G Reconciliation

CFDA #84.318 – Education Technology State Grants

In reconciling cash receipts and receivables on the Schedule G to R*Stars, we noted that MSDE had cash receipts and receivables \$235,448 greater than the amount reported on the Schedule G because this amount was deemed uncollectible. We noted that the revenue balance was corrected on the Schedule G to adjust the receivable balance to zero; however, the uncollectible amount was not updated in R*Stars.

Recommendation

We recommend all balance adjustments are made in R*Stars before they are reflected on the Schedule G. We also recommend that reconciliations are performed between the final Schedule G and R*Stars. Reconciliations ensure that the Schedule G properly reflects R*Stars balances.

Management Response

MSDE agrees with the Management Letter Recommendation.

In the future, all balance adjustments will be entered into R*STARS before the Schedule G is prepared. In addition, reconciliations will be performed between the final Schedule G and R*STARS before Schedule G is filed.

3. Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation – Internal Control Weakness over File Maintenance

CFDA No. 17.258, 17.259, and 17.260 - Workforce Investment Act Cluster

During our testing of client files at the Howard County office, we were unable to determine the date a file closed. Based on documentation of a check request being prepared and subsequently voided, it appears that core services were provided. However, the files did not provide documentation that the client was ever registered following the standard policies and procedures in place, therefore, no file close date was ever entered. The problem caused by the failure to follow procedures allowed for a check request to be processed without a formal registration of the client.

Recommendation

We recommend that all internal controls be strengthened to assure that appropriate steps are followed during the processing of each client and that the client files contain all necessary documentation to assure compliance with standard policies and procedures.

Management Response

We will notify the local area offices that they must strengthen internal controls related to the processing of client to assure compliance with standard policies and procedures. During our on site monitoring of all the Local Workforce Areas we will review to verify that standard policies and procedures are being followed.

4. Maryland Department of Veterans' Affairs - R-Stars Expenditures Reconciliation

CFDA No. 64.015 – Veterans State Nursing Home Care CFDA No. 64.014 Veterans State Domiciliary Care

In our review of the R-Stars expenditures for the Maryland Department of Veterans Affairs (MDVA) for the CFDA's stated above, we noted that the total Nursing Facility expenditures were not reported in the R-Stars system. The R-Stars expenditures were not inclusive of the expenditures incurred in the overall operations of the Nursing Facility for the year ended June 30, 2008. Documentation provided was supportive of additional expenditures and revenues which should have been recorded in R-Stars. These transactions are documented in the financial reports provided to the MDVA by the Vendor engaged to manage the MDVA's Nursing Facility, and the State would need to record an adjustment to R-Stars or in the GAAP financial statements at year end to capture those transactions.

Recommendation:

We recommend that a reconciliation between the MDVA and the Nursing Facility expenditures and revenues be prepared to capture the overall expenditures of the Facility. This is necessary to support the appropriate amounts of the billings for federal grant funds for the associated CFDA in that one of the grant requirements is that billings should not be in excess of 50% of the total veteran care costs in the facility. The adjustment can then be recorded in R-Stars or as a GAAP basis adjustment to the MDVA programs.

Management Response

MDVA concurs with the auditor's recommendation.

University System of Maryland

Management Recommendations Year Ended June 30, 2008



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

To the Board of Regents of the University System of Maryland

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the University System of Maryland (the System) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the System's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of System's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of System's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, during our audit, we noted certain matters involving the internal control and other operational matters that are presented for your consideration. This letter does not affect our report dated November 11, 2008, on the financial statements of the System. We will review the status of these comments during our next audit engagement. Our comments and recommendations, all of which have been discussed with appropriate members of management, are intended to improve the internal control or result in other operating efficiencies. We will be pleased to discuss these comments in further detail at your convenience, perform any additional study of these matters, or assist you in implementing the recommendations. Our comments are summarized on the next pages.

We believe that the implementation of these recommendations will provide the System with a stronger system of internal accounting control while also making its operations more efficient. We will be happy to discuss the details of these recommendations with you and assist in any way possible with their implementation. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the System's Board of Regents, management, and others within the organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Abram, Foster, Nok-William, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

November 11, 2008

University System of Maryland Management Recommendations Year Ended June 30, 2008

1. Enhancements to the Office of Financial Services Department (UMBC)

During the past year, there were delays in completing our year-end audit procedures for UMBC. We are aware of the staffing challenges UMBC has faced over the last year. However, we have had issues in obtaining reconciliations, schedules and response to inquiries and requests needed for the audit purposes.

We recognize that UMBC has put forth significant effort to analyze the situation and has fully staffed the Office of Financial Services.

We recommend that UMBC complete an assessment of the accounting and finance department functions to determine the resources needed to prepare and timely respond to audit requests and inquires and to formalize the financial reporting process.

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Response

The University acknowledges there were delays in responding to requests and regrets any inconvenience caused.

Circumstances beyond our control impacted our ability to respond to inquiries on a timely basis. Over the past two years, we experienced:

- The tragic death of a senior manager following a year-plus long struggle with cancer
- Multi-year illnesses culminating this year in disability retirements for two central accounting positions
- Unexpected departures in the last year of four key employees (AVP, two Directors, and a Manager)
- Major emergency surgeries for seven different employees impacting the summer months.

The University management has assessed the needs of the office, and in spite of a hiring freeze, has authorized filling all open positions. When fully staffed, the University expects to be well positioned to prepare and respond to audit requests on a timely basis. Additionally, change in year end focus to financial statement preparation rather than internal budgetary (RSTARS based) reporting will allow for more timely completion of year end requirements.

University System of Maryland Management Recommendations Year Ended June 30, 2008

2. Long-term plan for Information Technology Enhancements in Financial Reporting (USM)

In order to complete a consolidated financial report for the USM, the System Office must obtain and analyze financial information from each of the Universities and Foundations in different financial system formats.

We recommend considering a long-term plan of implementing a front-end financial system module which would be able to communicate with the individual Universities and Foundations financial systems which could consolidate the information into one format. This technological enhancement to the financial reporting process may provide efficiencies and enhance financial oversight from the System Office.

University System of Maryland Response

The University System of Maryland agrees with the auditor's recommendations about the need for a long-term plan for information technology enhancements.

We believe a long-term plan should distinguish between enhancements made to and more efficient data collection from the System's affiliated foundations, and those made for communication of financial information among the universities and centers of the University System of Maryland.

Developing an interface, or front-end financial system module for communicating financial information between the affiliated foundations and the System Office for purposes of compiling the year-end audited financial statement can be pursued for fiscal year 2009. Such an interface would ensure a consistent set of financial statement elements reported across all the affiliated foundations, and potentially improve the timeliness of receipt of the information.

For the institutions of the University System of Maryland, the long-term plan needs to take into consideration the sequence of actions that need to be accomplished to improve the completeness of the accounting data recorded by the institutions, and address the need to convert data from the budgetary basis of accounting to the full accrual basis of accounting used for preparation of external financial reporting. These efforts are currently underway. Once these initiatives have been accomplished, developing automated means of communicating financial information will be appropriate.



The following chart outlines the status of prior year management recommendations:

Recommendation	Current Year Observations	Status
Prepare An Aging of Unbilled Grants Receivable (UMB)	Based on our review, we noted that UMB has taken several steps toward reducing the unbilled accounts receivable balance and analyzing the data on a regular basis.	In Process
	Specifically, we noted a substantial (46%) decrease in the unbilled accounts receivable balance in the current year from \$67.8 million to \$36.2 million. Additionally, we were able to obtain and review a detailed unbilled accounts receivable aging as of June 30, 2008 which Management is reviewing on a regular basis.	
	We continue to recommend that management monitor and reduce the grants receivable balance in the current year. Specifically, we recommend that all balances are analyzed to determine if they are reported at net realizable value. Additionally, we recommend that the finance and accounting teams discuss expenditure guidelines with the individuals purchasing on grant funds as the University is financing those purchases to the extent that these are reimbursement grants.	
Credit Balances in Grants Receivable (UMB)	During FY07, UMB applied \$37 million of unapplied cash balances and is working diligently to clean up the prior unapplied cash balances as quickly as possible. As of June 30, 2007, approximately \$28 million of credits remain as an offset to accounts receivable. We noted that is approximately eight months of unapplied cash payments as of June 30, 2007. UMB has implemented a process whereby all credit balances in grants receivable are reviewed upon receipt and resolved within forty-eight hours. This is expected to alleviate future unapplied cash backlog. During fiscal year 2008, we noted the credit balances has been cleared out appropriately.	Implemented

Status of Prior Year Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Current Year Observations	Status
Implement the Fixed Assets Module of PeopleSoft (UMB)	We noted that UMB began implementing the PeopleSoft fixed asset module, has tested the new system and planned to complete implementation in the fall of 2007. This occurred during FY 2008.	Implemented
Revolving Loan Program (UMES)	UMES established an accurate schedule of loans outstanding which reconciles with the bank records. Internal audit reviewed the reconciliation and adjusting entries recorded to the general ledger. The institution and internal audit department agree the balances are properly stated. The institution should continue to analyze the historical write offs to refine its allowance for uncollectible loans.	Implemented
Develop Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Methodology (System Office)	Based on our review, we noted that the finance team began developing a consistent methodology for all institutions to record CCU receivables as of June 30, 2008. We recommend that the finance team continue to analyze the data from CCU to ensure that the balances are reported at net realizable value. Specifically, we recommend that the team work with CCU to determine the optimal level of information available, such as an aging report. Review of a detailed aging report would allow for specific analysis of the history of collections in order to further refine the allowance for doubtful accounts for these receivables.	In Process
Perform Reviews of Third Party Revenue Contracts (System Office)	Based on our review, we noted that USM has progressed to review more contracts. However, we continue to recommend that this process be refined to ensure that all such contracts are being monitored.	In Process

Status of Prior Year Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Current Year Observations	Status
Improve the Accounting and Finance Function at Coppin State University (CSU)	We recommend that Coppin continue the progress made during the current year to ensure that the department has been developed properly and is meeting the financial goals as deemed necessary by both the System Office and Coppin's executive management team.	In Process
Monitor Student Accounts Receivable and Cash balances at Bowie State University (BSU)	Based on our review, we noted that Bowie improved efforts to send receivables to CCU. We recommend that Bowie continue these efforts and analyze the data sent to and received from CCU to ensure that the amounts are reported at net realizable value. Additionally, we recommend that receivables are sent to CCU on a timely basis, consistent with the System's designated policy.	Implemented
Designate an employee to be responsible to review receivables and grant activity (System-wide)	Several institutions were not able to provide an aging of their grants and contracts receivable and the related contract end dates as of June 30, 2008. For the institutions with the most significant grant revenue and accounts receivable, we were able to obtain information to assess the collectability of the receivables. As noted, the most significant universities have processes in place to review and analyze the grant activity. However we recommend that USM continues to implement procedures that are uniform throughout the System to this regard.	In Process

Status of Prior Year Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Current Year Observations	Status
Implement Procedures to Monitor Grant Accounts Receivable(TU)	TU has grants receivable of approximately \$7 million as of June 30, 2007, of which approximately \$2.6 million represents unbilled amounts. The finance group relies on the grants department to provide information on grant activity and closings, as the general ledger system is not utilized to monitor grant accounts receivable. The grants department has a process in place to monitor grants and ensure they are properly billed and closed. However, there is no reconciliation performed between the general ledger and the grant accounting system at year end to ensure the grants receivable balances relate to active grants and are collectible. The detail of the grants receivable per the general ledger indicated that many of the grants were closed. We also noted management was not able to provide an analysis of the \$2.6 million unbilled grants receivable balance in order to ensure the unbilled amount by grant is reasonable based on the grant billing terms.	Implemented
	We recommend the finance group and the grants department work together at year end to reconcile the general ledger to the grant accounting system, and validate the unbilled receivable balance is proper and collectible.	

We recommend that the System continue efforts to implement prior year's management recommendations.

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Management Letter

Year Ended June 30, 2008



Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A. Certified Public Accountants

2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, MD 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830 / Fax (410) 433-6871

Member: American institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

Board of Regents
Morgan State University

Gentlemen/Mesdames:

We have audited the financial statements of the Morgan State University (University) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated December 8, 2008. In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the University, we considered internal controls in order to determine our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements. An audit does not include examining the effectiveness of internal controls and does not provide assurance on internal controls.

However, during our review, we noted operational matters that are presented for your consideration. This letter does not have a material impact on our report dated December 8, 2008 or the financial statements of the University. We will review the status of these recommendations during our next review engagement. Our recommendations, all of which have been discussed with appropriate members of management, are intended to improve the internal control or result in other operating efficiencies. We will be pleased to discuss these recommendations in further detail at your convenience, perform any additional study of these matters, or assist you in implementing the recommendations. Our recommendations are summarized on the next pages.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Finance Committee, the Board of Regents, and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 8, 2008

CURRENT-YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

During the Audit of the University and our review of the Information Technology Operations (IT), we noted several recommendations that we believe will strengthen and update the present IT Operations System. Our recommendations are as follows:

1. BANNER APPLICATION DATBASE SUPPORT

The database that supports the critical application for financial transactions is supported by a third party vendor. In the event this relationship is ended, support for the Banner database could be disrupted and impact the ability to process transactions. Management has indicated in the strategic plan the need to hire internally database support.

Recommendation

Define a specific timeframe for bringing the data base support in-house and consider cross training to ensure multiple personnel have the experience to provide continued database support.

Management Response

Due to budgetary constraints, the University is unable to bring the database support inhouse at this time. However, there are plans to cross-train existing staff to ensure that there is in-house capability as back up for the external database support within the next six months.

2. INFORMATION SECURITY

Current Information Security procedures do not require periodic entitlement reviews for all departments. Additionally, logging has not been enabled to record changes to critical tables and related database structure. As a result, unauthorized changes may not be detected timely.

Recommendation

Enhanced Information Security procedures to require annual entitlement reviews of user access. Additionally, an evaluation should be performed to determine that appropriate logging and related processes that need to be put in place to detect unauthorized changes timely.

3. INFORMATION SECURITY (Continued)

Management Response

Entitlement reviews occur within the functional areas to determine what access each user should have within Banner. The programming staff provides support to specific applications and is accorded the minimum privileges required to provide adequate support. Although these privileges are routinely reviewed, procedures will be implemented that will require a periodic entitlement review (i.e., annually) and will provide for documentation of these reviews and retention of the documentation for audit verification. This will be completed by June 30, 2009.

Turning Oracle Audit on will have a severe performance impact on the database system. The University is currently investigating alternatives and intends to implement some logging, albeit limited and targeted to selected tables, to detect unauthorized changes and mitigate potential risks. This will be completed by June 30, 2009.

4. CONTINUITY OF BUSINESS PLANS

Plan needs to be updated to reflect changes in the environment, some of the information in the plan dates back to 2005. Additionally, the Continuity of Business plan has not been tested.

Recommendation

- Update the Continuity of Business Plan to reflect the current environment.
- Test the Continuity of Business Plan to reflect the current environment.
- Test the Continuity of Business Plan to ensure that it can be executed if needed. Procedures should be put in place to test the plan annually.

Management Response

The Continuity Business Plan is reviewed annually and a review is due shortly. Whereas elements of the contingency have been tested (such as file restoration), we do however agree that full testing needs to be performed without impact to the University. We will complete our annual review of the plan, updating as necessary, and perform appropriate testing by August 31, 2009.

PRIOR-YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

1. EXTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS

During the audit of the University, we found a lack of centralized control and authority related to items required for the audit, a lack of communication and understanding between departments, and a lack of review and slow follow-up related to financial data and other audit requests.

At the University, as with most organizations, several departments interact with the Comptroller's office to accommodate the audit process. For an efficient audit, it is important to have one or two contacts that can facilitate the gathering of information and resolution of issues. During the audit, we noted difficulty in obtaining complete and timely information from the various departments. There was too much time expended by the auditors on understanding transactions between departments. In addition, additional fiscal staff time should have been used for review of financials, other audit schedules and documentation prior to presenting to the auditors.

The above conditions resulted in an inefficient audit that increased the cost to the University through increased audit procedures and missed deadlines.

Recommendations

We recommend that the University place more priority on audit by designating authority and control to the Comptroller and/or Vice President of Finance who will coordinate and instruct other department heads on audit requirements and resolution of related issues. We recommend meetings between departments to resolve open issues prior to the start of audit fieldwork. If additional support is required to accomplish a more efficient timely audit, the University should arrange for accounting assistance prior to fieldwork. This would also provide the fiscal staff more time in reviewing and reconciling critical data to the financial statements, and eliminate time spent on correction of errors after information has been given to the auditors.

Management Response

The University agrees with the auditor's recommendation. In the past, when the Assistant Vice President for Finance was designated coordinator/primary contact, the independent audit appeared to have been a more coherent process with much earlier completion of the audit. Over the past few years, the financial statements have been issued much later than

1. EXTERNAL AUDIT PROCESS (Continued)

Management Response (Continued)

in previous years despite the fact that field work was completed sometime in December. The University's audit team approach, where several upper level University contacts are communicating with the auditors is not achieving the results we had anticipated. In the future, the Assistant Vice President for Finance and Management will be primarily responsible for coordinating and managing the audit process with the goal of completing the audit much earlier.

Status

We noted more involvement of the Vice President for Finance and Management in the audit process as well as the timely handling of all audit requests by the Comptroller's office and other management.

2. CASH

During the audit, we noted various adjusting entries were made to adjust cash to R*Stars at year-end totaling \$589,000. This adjustments and the reconciliation provided did not satisfactorily explain the variances; however, the amount was considered immaterial and an adjusting entry was passed.

Recommendation

We recommend consideration be given to engaging a dedicated staff whose responsibility would include preparing monthly reconciliations, and documenting the cause of reconciling entries between R*Stars and the general ledger. We also recommend a similar procedure for accounts payable based on the direct relationship between cash and payables. Assumptions, if any, should be tested and demonstrated.

Management Response

The University does reconcile cash activity between the State R*Stars and Banner on a monthly basis. The misstatement of our prior year accounts payable accruals contributed to the cash variance in fiscal year 2007 and was a direct result of transitioning from the

2. CASH (Continued)

Management Response (Continued)

Plus system to Banner. To remedy the problem, we have had extensive reviews and discussions with the auditors. These discussions have resulted in us now having a better understanding of which categories of payables are to be extracted from Banner for inclusion in the year-end financial statement accruals. The Comptroller's Office will automate this extract report for the preparation of future financial statements.

Status

We noted cash is being reconciled to R*Stars monthly in more detail. For fiscal 2009 this process is being continued to prevent problems.

3. STUDENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Morgan brought Banner Student live at the beginning of fiscal 2007 and during the second half of the year, it was determined that Banner Student was not applying certain cash receipts to the students' debit balances when payments were being posted. Because of the codes that had been set up intentionally to comply with grant restrictions, the system was setting up the payments as unapplied credits resulting in both overstated debit and credit balances for students. As a result, Finance uses two specific reports to determine the student receivable at any point in time. As of June 30, 2007, Banner Student Receivable reflected total debits and total credits of \$6,995,287 (after deducting Fall 2007 student registration that had fed into the A/R in error) and \$6,153,821, respectively. Compared to prior years debit balances of under \$4 million, fiscal year 2007 receivables appeared inflated. Finance engaged a consultant to provide an independent study which was determined to be reliable and more conservative balances were considered fairly stated. Additional audit procedures resulted in an audit adjustment accepted by Finance, to increase the allowance for bad debts an additional \$500,000.

Recommendation

As a result of the systems change, which produced a substantial change in student receivable balances, we recommend that the University roll forward the Student A/R balance monthly and continue to identify and resolve system problems and issues in order to achieve a truly automated and reliable student receivable.

3. STUDENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (Continued)

Management Response

This issue is primarily related to implementation of the student module of Banner and the transition from the FRS Plus system to Banner. The Banner student module was implemented after the beginning of the fiscal year and the problem was compounded due to a lack of enough testing prior to going "live". As in prior years, the University encouraged continuing students to select a class schedule for upcoming future semester. This practice is standard amongst 4-year institutions. Since some parameters in the Banner student accounts receivable module did not have the proper settings, the fall 2007 semester tuition revenues were posted in fiscal year 2007. The University was under the impression that because these future terms were assigned to a specific fiscal year, the charges would not be recognized until that fiscal year was open. Unfortunately, that was not the case and fiscal year 2008 revenue was mixed in with fiscal year 2007. With the assistance of the University's consultant, we were able to separate the revenue by fiscal year and made the appropriate journal entry. We have since established effective dates for future term charges and we will continue to review and update the system annually to ensure that tuition revenues post to the proper fiscal year.

Status

We noted regular reviews for problems and reviews to make sure parameters are set each year to assure data flow to the correct periods.

4. GRANT RECEIVABLES

During the audit of grant receivables, we encountered numerous challenges with the information provided. Inquiries and testing of grants receivable revealed incorrect postings of grant activity, in particular, we noted that grants receivable schedules contained significant clerical errors. Additional audit time was spent with great difficulty getting accuracy as the schedules required several revisions. Receivable balances were reconciled for audit acceptance within immaterial variances. Much of the difficulty encountered can be avoided if a review process is implemented.

4. GRANT RECEIVABLES (Continued)

Recommendation

We recommend periodic reviews take place for this balance sheet account to determine that all activity is accurate in schedules provided for the audit. All schedules prepared must be approved by the Department head and reviewed by the Comptroller's office with signoffs prior to audit fieldwork.

Management Response

The University agrees with the auditor's recommendation. Unfortunately, similar to the Plus system, the grant detail report is not fully automated in Banner. As a result, some manual insertion of prior year information had to be undertaken. This resulted in some cutting and pasting that caused the information for some individual grants to be out of sync, thereby creating some clerical errors. In the future, the University will provide the appropriate reviews and approvals prior to submitting the information to the auditors. Additionally, we will work towards implementing a detail grant report from Banner.

Status

We noted the review and analysis of the restricted grants receivable as well as the involvement of the Assistant Vice President for Finance and Management in the review process.

5. INVENTORY VALUATION

During the audit, we noted that the bookstore inventory is being carried at retail versus cost. This was corrected by audit entry at close of fieldwork.

Recommendation

We recommend inventory be corrected to cost in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. An audit adjustment was considered immaterial to the financial statements and was passed.

5. INVENTORY VALUATION (Continued)

Management Response

The University agrees with the auditors' recommendation. The University engages a vendor to inventory the bookstore at fiscal year end for submission to the State Department of General Services. The report to the State is presented at the retail value rather than at costs. Unfortunately, the comptroller's office took the numbers from the report to the State (retail value) for financial statement inclusion. In the future, the Procurement and Property Control Department will implement an additional layer of review prior to finalizing the inventory report for the State.

Status

We noted bookstore inventory being recorded correctly at cost.

6. ACCOUNTING FOR PROPERTY PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

During the audit, we noted material omission and adjustment errors to property and equipment. A contributing factor in this omission, is the lack of timely and effective communication and understanding between departments.

Recommendation

We recommend the Comptroller's office review and approve supporting documentation for any property adjustment to the general ledger. The University should consider implementing system changes to strengthen internal controls in this department given the significance of this account balance.

Management Response

The University concurs with the recommendation. Toward that end, we have already begun a series of inter-departmental meetings designed to facilitate communication and the transfer of necessary information for the proper recording of assets and their associated values. As a result of an ongoing review of our processes and procedures, we

6. ACCOUNTING FOR PROPERTY PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (Continued)

Management Response (Continued)

have discovered and are moving swiftly to correct errors with certain formulas in the asset management system that has contributed to errors in the valuation of assets. Key personnel from Property Control, the Office of the Comptroller, the Office of Finance and Management and Design and Construction will meet monthly, at the very least, to update inventory and address failures in current procedures to arrive at a consensus for remedying problems as they arise. Additionally, it has been agreed that Property Control and Design and Construction will maintain a repository of all related documentation for purchases and acquisitions to assist in this effort.

<u>Status</u>

We noted reviews of the property information by the Comptroller's office. We noted adjustments made as a result of the reviews and communication between the Comptroller's Office and Property and Control. The review of activity is planned to continue in order to maintain accurate property, plant and equipment records.

7. MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION REPORT

We were required to test student information and issue a report on this data for the Maryland Higher Education Commission (MHEC). During the audit, we noted that Records and Registration Office was unable to locate some student files needed to perform the MHEC testing, resulting in the failure to confirm the University's compliance with MHEC requirements. File maintenance appeared to be the cause of this problem.

Recommendation

We recommend the University review file maintenance procedures and implement additional procedures to safeguard student files and records to prevent future noncompliance. Not implementing corrective procedures could adversely impact funding.

7. MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION REPORT (Continued)

Management Response

The University agrees with the auditor's recommendation and will review procedures regarding student file maintenance by June 30, 2008. It will implement additional procedures and controls as necessary to safeguard student files and records and make them available to auditors.

Status

We noted an improvement in file maintenance related to student files.